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In 1889, when José Martí began publishing La Edad de Oro, his idea was to instill in children ethical and moral values. To this end, he creates various fictional characters who embody these sentiments, the most well known of whom are Pilar in “Los zapaticos de rosa” [The Pink Shoes] and Piedad in “La muñeca negra” [The Black Doll]. In both of them, Martí projects images and behavioral social models that parents should teach their little ones. In both, the girls are white and their parents are economically well off, but the children openly establish solidarity with someone who does not have their social status and is not a member of their race.

In the story “La muñeca negra,” Martí recreates a situation through words and actions that express feelings of belonging, love of one’s surroundings and things, and rejection of things unknown or foreign. Piedad always played with her black doll, so much so, that the doll looked pretty worn. On her birthday, her parents give her a beautiful gift: a porcelain doll whose function it was to replace the other. Yet, Pilar rejects the gift and prefers her own doll. Her words at the end of the story perfectly explain her choice and summarize the story’s moral: “I love you because they do not!”

Critics have rightly pointed out Martí’s anti-racism in this story, but it might be more accurate to say it demonstrated his “charity,” “duty” or “compassion” for blacks and, finally, his desire to accept those others did not. The story’s protagonist, Piedad, would rather keep her old, black doll not so much because she likes it, but because no one likes her. Pilar’s behavior may very possibly represent the way she was and was not anchored in desire, but rather in sacrifice or a belief that one should love those no one wants. In this sense, it is helpful to recall a testimony by María Mantilla about the kind of woman Martí tended to dance with when they accompanied each other to parties in New York when Martí was exiled there:

Whenever there was a get-together to which Cuban families were invited to celebrate someone’s birthday or some other occasion there was music and a bit of dancing, and Martí always asked the least attractive young and older women. I would later ask him: “Martí, why do you always dance with the ugliest ones?” He would answer: “My daughter, no one pays attention to the ugliest ones, and it is our responsibility to keep them from feeling ugly.”
What this tells us is that Martí’s desires and sense of obligation are different from those of others. Thus, it is not strange that in the story “the black doll” the same logic is at play. Piedad, the little, eight year-old girl, does not choose to keep her old black doll because she is beautiful or she likes it more than the other new one. Instead, she does it because it is her duty “not to let the doll feel ugly.” Even if desire and passion are deeply rooted in a subject, obligation imposes a limit, sets a course that the speaker should follow. Moreover, the other doll does not wish to speak to Pilar and the girl suggests that it has expensive taste: “Come now, Madame doll. Let’s go out for a stroll. You must want carriages and coachmen, and chestnut sweets, Madame doll.” Her doll, however, want nothing, is not a “Madame,” nor does she have to treat her as if she were one. One might say that Martí projects in her the ugliness he sees in the women at the parties. Yet, like Piedad, he acts not according to his taste, but to his duty, and he chooses them.

Piedad’s name is enough to let us see that her final decision was governed by her ethical nature. Her name, particularly for a nineteenth-century reader, evoked a strong sense of religiosity, which was no doubt close to the magazine editor’s requirements, Mr. Acosta Gómez, when he entered into an agreement with Martí to publish it.

The Dictionary of the Royal Academy of Language of Spain (1989) reminds us that ‘Piedad’ defines the “virtue that tender devotion to saintly things inspires for the love of God, and the acts of sacrifice and compassion due to a love for one’s neighbor.” Naturally, “La Piedad” is also the name given to the representation of the Holy Virgin holding Jesus’s cadaver after his crucifixion, the image made famous by Michelangelo (1475-1564) during the Renaissance, which is known as La Pietà, a sculptor that today can be found in the Vatican.

The story does not reveal if Piedad’s family is religious, but it is insinuated that the father has an image of the Virgin on his table. He states that this Virgen is like “Piedad, a Piedad dressed in a long dress.” One look at the Michelangelo’s La Pietà and one will see that the Virgen has a cape on her, and a “long dress.”

The girl’s image in the story is even modeled on that of a virtuous mother who tells her daughter—“the black doll”—what the correct social behavior codes are. She is the typical image of a “mother” that girls emulate when they play. Martí used it to instill in children an idea of “nation” and social cohesion. Yet it also implies something with a religious origin, something that was commonly found in U.S. abolitionist campaigns, in anti-slave and Indigenist literature, and was part and parcel of the content of the preaching done by the “Friends of Indians” organization in
the United States, which asked whites to see
them as human beings, brethren and children,
to change their situation on reservations.

Marti himself wrote an infinite number
of times about the need to have compassion
for blacks. This argument was also commonly
found in the writing of Cubans who opposed
the slave trade or rejected the extremely un-
just and violent treatment to which they were
subjected at sugar plantations. For example,
in one of his letters to Gonzalo de Quesada,
Marti asks that his daughter pray that he
might “bring men together in patience and
compassion.”8 In a chronical in La Liga, in
New York, he talked about “compassionate
patriotism, which is the only patriotism.”9

So, how are we to understand this story?
If we consider it a sort of political allegory
that employs a parable to explain the relation-
ship between blacks and whites in Cuba, Mar-
ti would be emphasizing the need to accept,
protect and educate blacks the way a mother
would with her own children. He would be
asking his readers to have “compassion” for
them because they had been victims, like Jesus
Christ, of disdain from others. Piedad, true
to her name, does what the children who read
this story should do, and the reader feels this
is a proper decision. Her position is the same
as those of writers Harriet Beecher Stowe
and Helen Hunt Jackson when they demanded
better treatment for blacks and Indians in the
United States.

There was a reason why Martí said that
upon writing the first of these novels Beecher
Stowe had opened U.S. hearts to “compassion
for blacks, and that no one help more than
she to free them.”10 In addition, in 1890, he
complains that an orator from the Southern
United States speaks “without the compas-
sion the situation of blacks demands.”11

These are the same feelings that appear a
bit later in poem XXX in his collection Versos Sencillos (1891). One cannot help but
admire the poet/child for feeling what he did when he saw a black man “hung from a
cieba tree in a rural area.”12 As one might
expect, his attitude in seeing this was to sac-
rifice himself, do as Christ did, and swear to
“cleanse the crime with his own life.”13 I want
to clarify that the image of a black or Indian
as a child is a common and historical image
in colonial literature. It popped up so many
times in the recurring, diminutive form of
“negrito,” so common in Cuba, and applied
Indians too, for it was common to apply it to
them when dealing with them, and when leg-
islating their rights, because it was thought
that they were not mature enough to know
what they wanted.

In any event, the image of whites as pro-
tective fathers or mothers is controversial,
at the very least, since the idea of “protection”
meant that whites should decide for them
(they should be paternalistic), instruct them
as to what was best, which when actually done
meant they were robbing them of their abil-
ity to decide, and they could keep them in
the same colonial position that had brought
about their present condition.

In the story about the “black doll,”
Martí only develops the Piedad/mother and
daughter/black allegory in the sense of how
we should see and treat them. Yet, indirectly,
he is also suggesting the things to which this
doll should not aspire, since he, himself,
disapproves of them: those things that the other
“Madame doll” the parents bought for the
girl would probably want: “Come now, Ma-
dame doll. Let’s go out for a stroll. You must
want carriages, and coachmen, and chest-
ut sweets, Madame doll.”14 Thus, if no one
loves the black doll, it is also the case that the
black doll wants nothing. Her poverty keeps
her from aspiring to the things the other doll wants, which is why Piedad prefers her.

What, then, would be Martí’s position before those blacks who despite the discrimination against them enjoyed and aspired to better positions in colonial times? If we guide ourselves by the following equation (“we love blacks because they have nothing and no one loves them”), we would have to omit the middle class that gained prominence in the early nineteenth century in Cuba, which Cirilo Villaverde captured so well in his novel Cecilia Valdés (1882). In other words, this “compassion” would be conditioned by the social status and material aspirations of blacks. One might be able to argue, as many Marxists have, that to begin with it is the economy or lower class that provokes discrimination. What follows, then, is that once blacks have money or enjoy the same material benefits as whites, they would no longer need “Piedad.” Then they would be treated as whites or anyone else with aspirations, or anyone who had what the other “Madame” dolls wanted: carriages, coachmen and chestnut sweets. So, were these the limits for Piedad?
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