No. 04-15306

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

EARL F. ARAKAKI, et al.,

Plaintiffs/Appellants,

V.

LINDA LINGLE, et al.,

Defendants/Appellees.

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii, Civil No. 02-00139-SOM/KSC

PLAINTIFFS/APPELLANTS' PETITION FOR PANEL OR EN BANC REHEARING

H. WILLIAM BURGESS (HI 833) 2299-C Round Top Drive Honolulu, Hawaii 96822 Telephone: (808) 947-3234 Facsimile: (808) 947-5822

E-mail: hwburgess@hawaii.rr.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs'/Appellants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			PAG	<u>E</u>
Ta	ble	of A	Authorities iii -	vi
I.		RU	TLE 35(b)(1) STATEMENT	. 1
	A.	of	ne dismissal for lack of standing conflicts with decisions The Supreme Court and this Court by conflating standing and e merits of the claims alleged	1
		1.	Standing focuses on the party, not the issues or merits	. 1
		2.	This Court has often granted to persons in Plaintiffs' position, as beneficiaries of Hawaii's public land trust, a right to judicial relief.	
		3.	This Court, in its leading case on taxpayer standing, also granted to persons in Plaintiffs' position, as State of Hawaii taxpayers burdened by the OHA laws, a right to judicial relief	
	B.	or	ne disposal of almost all issues, under the guise of "standing" ders, while prohibiting Plaintiffs from moving for summary dgment, presents questions of exceptional importance	3
II.			RTICULAR STATEMENT OF EACH POINT OF LAW FACT OVERLOOKED OR MISAPREHENDED	. 4
	A.	O	HA'S role and funding	. 5
		1.	Hawaii ceded its lands. The State controls the 1.2 million acres	. 5
		2.	HHLTF is for DHHL	. 6
		3.	"Settlement" that settled nothing was financed with taxes	. 6
	В.		21 – Hawaiian Homes Commission Act Extinguished	8

	С.	impartiality; Duty not to comply with illegal trust terms	11
	D	. 1921 – Congress injected partiality and race into the trust	13
	E.	1959 – Statehood for Hawaii. United States' role eliminated entirely?	14
	F.	The Panel's self-contradictory view of Admission Act § 4. U.S. delegated duty but kept power. So no one liable for breach!	17
	G	Even third parties are liable if they knowingly participate in a breach of trust.	20
	H	Nor is the U.S. an indispensable party for Plaintiffs' trust beneficiary claim against the current trustee	20
	I.	The United States knew, or should have known, its mandate to discriminate would burden state taxpayers	23
	J.	The unprecedented restrictions on taxpayer standing	24
III.		CONCLUSION	26
		CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	
		CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
		APPENDIX (Panel Opinion August 31, 2005, No. 04-15306)	