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Abstract. The paper is an eclectic study of the uses of the Kalman filter in existing econometric literature. An effort is made to introduce the various extensions to the linear filter first developed by Kalman(1960) through examples of their uses in economics. The basic filter is first derived and then some applications are reviewed.
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1 Introduction

In Statistics and Economics, a filter is simply a term used to describe an algorithm that allows recursive estimation of unobserved, time varying parameters, or variables in the system. It is different from forecasting in that forecasts are made for future, whereas filtering obtains estimates of unobservables for the same time period as the information set. The Kalman filter is a discrete, recursive linear filter, first developed for use in engineering applications and subsequently adopted by statisticians and econometricians. The basic idea behind the filter is simple - to arrive at a conditional density function of the unobservables using Bayes’ Theorem, the functional form of relationship with observables, an equation of motion and assumptions regarding the distribution of error terms. The filter uses the current observation to predict the next period’s value of unobservable and then uses the realisation next period to update that forecast. The Linear Kalman filter is optimal, i.e. Minimum Mean Squared Error estimator if the observed variable and the noise are jointly Gaussian. Else, it is best among the class of linear filters.
The paper discusses the Linear Kalman filter, its derivation and some applications in Economics. The basic linear filter with Gaussian, uncorrelated error terms is often inadequate for economic applications. Several extensions have been developed for adapting the algorithm to handle non-linear measurement equations, non-gaussian or correlated error terms. These and their related economic applications are discussed in Section 3. Section 4 concludes.

2 The Kalman Filter

Let \( Z_t \in \mathbb{R}_n \), be the observed values for variable(s) Z and let \( X_t \in \mathbb{R}_m \) be the vector of unobserved variable(s) of interest (also called the state(s) of the nature)\(^1\). The relationship between Z and X is assumed known and described by the measurement equation:

\[
Z_t = H_t'X_t + v_t \tag{1}
\]

where \( H_t \) is known, and \( v_t \) is Gaussian white noise with \( E[v_t.v'_s] = R_t \delta_{ts} \) where \( \delta_{ts} \) is Kronecker delta, which is 1 for \( t = s \) and 0 otherwise. \( X_t \) is assumed to evolve according to the equation of motion:

\[
X_{t+1} = F_tX_t + w_t \tag{2}
\]

where \( w_t \) is Gaussian white noise, with \( E[(w_t.w'_s)] = Q_t \delta_{ts} \)

Additional assumptions are that \( v_t \) and \( w_t \) are independent, initial state \( X_0 \) is a Gaussian random variable with mean \( E[X_0|Z_{-1}] = E[X_0] = \bar{X}_0 \) and \( \text{Var}(X_0|Z_{-1}) = \Sigma_0 \), independent of \( w_t \) and \( v_t \). The Kalman filter gives an algorithm to determine the estimates \( \hat{X}_{t|t-1} \equiv E[X_t|Z_{t-1}] \) and \( \hat{X}_{t|t} \equiv E[X_t|Z_t] \) the corresponding covariance matrices \( \Sigma_{t|t-1} \) and \( \Sigma_{t|t} \). It comprises of the following equations:

\[
\hat{X}_{t+1|t} = [F_t - K_tH'_t]\hat{X}_{t|t-1} + K_tZ_t \tag{3}
\]

\[
\hat{X}_{0|0} = \bar{X}_0 \tag{4}
\]

\(^1\)The discussion in this section is based on Anderson and Moore (1979) and Meinhold and Singpurwalla (1983)
\[ K_t = F_t \Sigma_{t|t-1} H_t [H_t' \Sigma_{t|t-1} H_t + R_t]^{-1} \]  
(5) 
\[ \Sigma_{t+1|t} = F_t[\Sigma_{t|t-1} - \Sigma_{t|t-1} H_t (H_t' \Sigma_{t|t-1} H_t + R_t)^{-1} H_t' \Sigma_{t|t-1}] F_t' + G_t Q_t G_t' \]  
(6) 
\[ \hat{X}_{t|t} = \hat{X}_{t|t-1} + \Sigma_{t|t-1} H_t (H_t' \Sigma_{t|t-1} H_t + R_t)^{-1} (Z_t - H_t' \hat{X}_{t|t-1}) \]  
(7) 
\[ \hat{X}_{t+1|t} = \hat{X}_{t|t} + \Sigma_{t|t-1} - \Sigma_{t|t-1} H_t (H_t' \Sigma_{t|t-1} H_t + R_t)^{-1} H_t' \Sigma_{t|t-1} \]  
(8) 
\[ \Sigma_{t|t} = \Sigma_{t|t-1} - \Sigma_{t|t-1} H_t (H_t' \Sigma_{t|t-1} H_t + R_t)^{-1} H_t' \Sigma_{t|t-1} \]  
(9) 

Notice that (4) and (8) imply:

\[ F_t\hat{X}_{t|t} = K_t Z_t + (F_t - K_t H_t') \hat{X}_{t|t-1} \]  
(10)

so (3) is equivalent to

\[ \hat{X}_{t+1|t} = F_t \hat{X}_{t|t} \]  
(11)

The matrix \( K_t \) is called the gain matrix and equation (6), which determines recursively the conditional error covariance matrix, is called the Riccati equation. The equations can be understood better when the system is viewed as unidimensional. Equations (3) and (6) are the prediction equations, which give the optimal estimates of future values based on current information set and equations (8) and (9) are updation equations that update the previous period’s forecast based on the current realization of the observable. Some remarks are in order here. One, the gain matrix \( K_t \) depends inversely on \( R_t \) - the larger the variance of the measurement error, the lower the weight given to the measurement in making the forecast for the next period, given today’s information set. A similar relationship holds when predicting the value of \( X_{t|t} \) (8) - the forecast made with the previous period’s information set is updated by the difference between the current measurement and the previous period’s forecast of that measurement (i.e. \( Z_t - H_t' \hat{X}_{t|t-1} \)), but the weight attached to this error depends inversely on the variance of \( v_t \). Two, the algorithm automatically utilises all information contained in previous forecasts and information sets, without having to store and process the entire historical data at every step. The filter has consecutive prediction and updation cycles, whereby an estimate of \( X_t \) is first obtained based on information at \( t-1 \) and the new observation \( Z_t \) is used to update and improve the prediction. This recursiveness adds to the filter’s attractiveness in practical use.

Now we derive equations (3) - (9) from first principles. The random variable \( [X_0' Z_0']' \) has mean \( [\bar{X}_0' \bar{X}_0' H_0']' \) and covariance:

\[ E[\epsilon_t X_t' X_t'] = \Sigma_{0|0} \]  
(12) 
\[ E[\epsilon_t X_t' Z_t'] = 0 \]  
(13) 
\[ E[\epsilon_t Z_t' Z_t'] = I \]  
(14)
Since $X_0$ and $Z_0$ are jointly gaussian, $X_0$ conditioned on $Z_0$ has mean

\[
\hat{X}_{0|0} = \bar{X}_0 + P_0H_0(H_0^TP_0H_0 + R_0)^{-1}(Z_0 - H_0^T\bar{X}_0)
\]

and covariance

\[
\Sigma_{0|0} = P_0 - P_0H_0(H_0^TP_0H_0 + R_0)^{-1}H_0^TP_0
\]

The independence assumptions (1) then imply that $X_0|Z_0$ is normally distributed with mean

\[
\hat{X}_{1|0} = F_0\hat{X}_{0|0}
\]

and covariance $\Sigma_{1|0} = F_0\Sigma_{0|0}F_0^T + G_0Q_0G_0^T$

These and (2) imply that $Z_{1|0}$ is normally distributed with mean and covariance

\[
\hat{Z}_{1|0} = H_1^T\hat{X}_{1|0} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1^T\Sigma_{1|0}H_1 + R_1
\]

This implies that $E[(X_{1|0} - \hat{X}_{1|0})(Z_{1|0} - \hat{Z}_{1|0})|Z_0] = \Sigma_{1|0}H_1$. This implies that $\begin{bmatrix} X_1^T & Z_1^T \end{bmatrix}$ conditioned on $Z_0$ has mean $\begin{bmatrix} \hat{X}_{1|0}^T & H_1^T\hat{X}_{1|0} \end{bmatrix}$ and covariance:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\Sigma_{1|0} & \Sigma_{1|0}H_1 \\
H_1^T\Sigma_{1|0} & H_1^T\Sigma_{1|0}H_1 + R_1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Using this, we deduce that $X_1|(Z_0, Z_1)$ has mean

\[
\hat{X}_{1|1} = \hat{X}_{1|0} + \Sigma_{1|0}H_1(\Sigma_1H_1^T\Sigma_1H_1 + R_1)^{-1}(Z_1 - H_1^T\hat{X}_{1|0})
\]

and covariance

\[
\Sigma_{1|1} = \Sigma_{1|0} - \Sigma_{1|0}H_1(\Sigma_1H_1^T\Sigma_1H_1 + R_1)^{-1}H_1^T\Sigma_{1|0}
\]

Iterating the above steps, we get Equations (3) through (9).
3 Economic Applications of Kalman Filter

All ARMA models can be written in the state-space forms, and the Kalman filter used to estimate the parameters. It can also be used to estimate time-varying parameters in a linear regression and to obtain Maximum likelihood estimates of a state-space model. Another application of the filter is to obtain GLS estimates for the model \( y_t = \beta'x_t + u_t \) where the error term \( u_t \) is gaussian ARMA(p,q) with known parameters. This section discusses some economic models that have been estimated using either the Linear Kalman filter described above, or its extensions.

3.1 Time Varying Parameters in a Linear Regression: Demand for International Reserves

The classical regression model, \( y_t = \beta'x_t + u_t \) where \( u_t \) is white noise, assumes that the relationship between the explanatory and explained variables remains constant through the estimation period. When this assumption is an unreasonable one (for example, while studying macroeconomic relationships for countries that have undergone structural reforms during the sample period, for example, India in 1991 and the Erstwhile socialist republics), and the model is specified as one with \( \beta_t \)'s, the Kalman filter can be used to estimate the parameters. An example of this approach is the study by Bahmani-Oskooee and Brown (2004) that postulates structural changes in demand for international reserves during the 1970’s. The reserve demand \( (R_t) \) of a country is specified as a function of its real imports \( (M_t) \), a variability measure of balance of payments \( (VR_t) \), and its average propensity to import \( (m_t) \). i.e.

\[
\log R_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log M_t + \beta_2 \log VR_t + \beta_3 \log m_t + \epsilon_t \tag{12}
\]

The \( \beta \)s are assumed to follow a random walk. The instability of \( \beta \)s is first demonstrated by estimating rolling regressions, i.e. for the same sample size, shifting by one period, the beginning of the sample period to repeatedly estimate \( y_t = \beta'x_t + u_t \), correcting for serial correlation in errors. Quarterly data for 19 OECD countries is used, for the period 1959-94. The problem with this specification is that it ignores the supply side and takes the equilibrium quantities as realised demands. Another issue here (and with all time-varying parameter models) is that in order for the system to be identified, the \( \beta \)s are assumed to be a random walk. This would, without furthur
restrictions, mean that the dependent variable is non-stationary (since it is a linear combination of the $\beta$’s) and invalidate the usual t and F tests.

3.2 Kalman Filter with Correlated Error Terms: Exchange Rate Risk Premia

The Kalman filter described in Section 2 assumes that the errors in the measurement and translation equations are uncorrelated. This assumption would fail in situations where shocks to a third factor causes movements in both the observed variable and the unobserved variable under consideration. An example of this can be found in the market for exchange rates, where new information that causes the spot rate to jump may also cause the risk premium to change. Examples of such new information include shocks to money supply and interest rates, a switch in currency regime, a repudiation of debt by the country or announced change in currency’s convertability. Cheung (1993) derives the Kalman filter algorithm for the state space model given by:

\begin{align}
D_t &= P_t + v_{t+1} \\
P_t &= \phi P_{t-1} + a_t \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} a_t \\
v_t \\
\end{pmatrix} \sim iidN \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\
0 \\
\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} Q^2 & C \\
C & R^2 \\
\end{pmatrix} \right] \tag{15}
\end{align}

Also,

\begin{align}
D_t &\equiv F_t - S_{t+1} \\
P_t &\equiv F_t - E_t S_{t+1} \\
v_{t+1} &\equiv E_t S_{t+1} - S_{t+1} \\
\end{align}

where $P_t$ is the unobservable risk premium, $D_t$ is the prediction error from using forward rate as a one-period ahead forecast of the spot rate, $F_t$ and $S_t$ are one period ahead forward and spot exchange rates respectively. All variables are in natural logs. The filtering algorithm for this problem takes the following form:

\begin{align}
\hat{P}_{t+1|t} = \phi \hat{P}_{t|t} + C(\Sigma_{t|t-1} + R_t^{-1})^{-1}(D_t - \hat{P}_{t|t-1}) \\
\Sigma_{t+1|t} = \phi^2 \Sigma_{t|t} + Q^2 - C^2(\Sigma_{t|t-1} + R_t^{-1})^{-1} - 2\phi C K_t \tag{20}
\end{align}
\[ K_t = \Sigma_t|t-1(\Sigma_t|t-1 + R_t^{-1})^{-1} \]  
\[ \hat{P}_t|t = \hat{P}_t|t-1 + K_t(D_t - \hat{P}_t|t-1) \]  
\[ \Sigma_t|t = \Sigma_t|t-1[1 - K_t] \]  

The filter is initialized using the unconditional mean and variance of risk premium. Maximum likelihood estimated of the parameters \((\phi, R^2, Q^2, \text{and } C)\) are obtained by first fitting an ARMA model to the prediction error, \(D_t\). The risk premium series so obtained is used to test the validity of three theoretical formulations of risk premia based on Lucas (1982) asset pricing model.

3.3 Kalman filter in Financial Econometrics:

**Stochastic Volatility Models**

Financial data have been observed to have certain regularities in statistical properties, including leptokurtic distributions, volatility clustering (clustering of high and low volatility episodes), leverage effects (higher volatility during falling prices and lower volatility during stock market booms) and persistence of volatility. The financial econometrics literature spawns econometric models that seek to capture many of these stylized facts of the data. The most popular approach uses GARCH models, where the variance is postulated to be a linear function of squared past observations and variances. Another approach is Stochastic Volatility (SV) models, first proposed by Taylor(1986), where log of the volatility is modeled as a linear, unobserved stochastic AR process. An ARSV(1) model models asset returns for \(t = 1, 2, \ldots, T\) as:

\[ y_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t, h_{t+1} = \phi h_t + \eta_t \]  
\[ \eta_t \sim iid(0, \sigma^2_{\eta}), \ |\phi| < 1 \]  

where \(y_t\) is the return observed at time \(t\), \(\sigma_t\) is the corresponding volatility, \(h_t = \log(\sigma^2_t)\), \(\epsilon_t\) are iid random with 0 mean and a known variance, \(\sigma^2_\epsilon\) and \(\sigma_\eta\) is a scale parameter introduced to keep (25) constant-free. Equation (25) captures volatility clustering and if \(\epsilon_t\) and \(\eta_{t+1}\) are allowed to be negatively correlated, then the model can capture the leverage effect. The model is not identified if the variance of (log of) future volatility, \(\sigma^2_\eta\) is 0. The process \(y_t\) is a martingale difference and is stationary when \(|\phi| < 1\). Several ways of estimating the parameters of the model have been proposed. One is to linearize (23) by squaring it and taking logs and obtain estimators based...
on \( \log(y_t^2) \). This method is called the Quasi-Maximum Likelihood (QML) and was proposed independently by Nelson (1988) and Harvey et al. (1994). Linearizing (23), we obtain

\[
\log(y_t^2) = \mu + h_t + \xi_t
\]

where \( \mu = \log(\sigma^2_t) + E(\log(\epsilon_t^2)) \), \( h_t = \log(\sigma^2_t) \) and \( \xi_t = \log(\epsilon_t^2) - E(\log(\epsilon_t^2)) \). Here, \( h_T \) is the unobserved stochastic process. This, along with (24) are in the familiar state-space form of the Kalman filter. However, using the filter directly here would yield only the Minimum Mean Squared Linear estimators, rather than the minimum mean squared estimators. Harvey et al. (1994) proposed treating \( \xi_t \) as if it were iid Gaussian and estimating the QML function of \( \log(y_t^2) \) given by (ignoring constants):

\[
\log L[\log(y^2) | \theta] = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log \Omega_t - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{v_t^2}{\Omega_t}
\]

where \( v_t = \log(y_t^2) - \hat{\log}(y_t^2) \) is the one-step ahead prediction error of \( \log(y_t^2) \) and \( \Omega_t \) is the corresponding mean-squared error. Note that the Kalman filter gives estimates of \( v_t \) and \( \Omega_t \), i.e., provides an algorithm for computing the maximum likelihood function [In the model given by (3) to (8), \( v_t = X_t - \hat{X}_{t|t-1} \) and \( \Omega_t = (H_t^t\Sigma_{t|t-1}H_t + R_t)^{-1} \). Correspondingly, we can get equations defining \( v_t \) and \( \Omega_t \) in the context of the current model]. The likelihood function is maximized using numerical methods to obtain estimates of \( \theta = [\phi \ \sigma^2 \ \eta \ \sigma^2_*] \). This procedure gives estimators of \( h_t \) that are consistent and asymptotically normal, but still inefficient as the density function used is an approximation.

While the QML method discussed above was based on \( \log(y_t^2) \), there are other methods of estimation of an ARSV(1) model that are based on the statistical properties of \( y_t \) itself. The most frequently used are Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) estimator, the Maximum Likelihood Estimator and estimators based on an auxiliary model. The ML estimators use techniques in importance sampling and the Monte Carlo Markov Chain (MCMC) procedures and do not make use of the Kalman filter in their implementation. The GMM methods don’t yield estimates of the underlying volatilities \( \sigma^2_t \) and these can be obtained using the Kalman Filter.
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