


Your Child

OR

Her Life!



DECEPTION AND EVIL IN THE
Ministry of Children, Family and
Community Development

By Robert Arthur

The Elizabeth Anne Elaine Society
Justice is Truth in Action

Introduction:

Society, in order to be just, must be founded upon and protected by the rule of law. As members of this society, we agree to give up certain rights and benefits in exchange for benefits. If however, we do not know what rights and freedoms we have given up, how do we know they are in fact, benefits? Would you buy a car, not know what you paid for it and then claim you got a great deal?

Our government uses a great deal of deception to gain authority over our lives. They use deceptive words and twisty sentence structure to create a sense of obligation in us when none exists. Feeling obligated to engage in certain acts, we do so only to arm the government with evidentiary proof that we begged them to let us act. Were we obligated, or did we beg? It can only be one or the other.

Society's purpose must reflect the collective will of it's members. I believe that in Canada, our will is to raise our children. We all want to see our children happy, healthy, confident in their decisions, competent in their actions and venturing forth into the world with love, compassion and conquest aforethought. This is why we join society in the first place. If membership in this society interferes with that will, then membership is no longer a benefit.

Your offspring are in serious danger. There is an organization in BC employing criminals who believe they have more authority over your children than you do. Using deception, they will threaten your children with permanent irreparable harm and will force you to play tug-o-war with your child as the rope. You can never win once they start tugging. They will use your love for your child against you and because they do not love your children nor care who they hurt and are completely unaccountable, they will pull harder than you.

Their criminal actions, deception and compassionless disregard for the health and welfare of our children erodes our respect in society and undermines the rule of law. Unless these criminals are stopped, not only are your children in danger, but the fabric of our society is as well. All societies rely on the mutual consent of its members. Who will knowingly and willingly consent to societal membership when doing so means our children are endangered by ignorant heartless deceptive bureaucrats? This work focuses on the Ministry of Children and Family Development.

It will explain the legal mechanism that the people who work there use to remove your offspring. By understanding the words they use, you can render them powerless. Remember they are only human beings and all they have is words. You have something they will never have: love for your child.

Once they start tugging on your child it will be too late. Remember, they do not care who they hurt. They will not say sorry or make amends when they hurt you. Like near-sighted drunken gorillas driving a breakless steam-roller, once they have you in their sights, you are in danger. Even if they find no cause for action, their vehicle is so unyielding that you will be run over. Fill out and serve the forms I am providing. Do it before the birth of your child. Take control before they try to. Ask the ministry the questions I have provided before they even think of coming for your family. If they cannot answer the questions posed, they cannot claim any authority over you. These questions attack their authority where it is weakest, right where they are deceptive.

Authority, gained through deception and exercised without restraint, understanding, accountability or compassion, always breeds evil. The truly frightening thought is that it doesn't necessarily breed it in the hearts of those with the authority.

Dedication: (Hugs and Loves)

This work is dedicated to Elizabeth Anne Elaine and her mother Megan.. As long as I have love in my heart for that child and I can not share it directly, I will share it indirectly by standing against those who harmed you and destroyed our family. The government claims the right to stop me from sharing my love with you. What they failed to realize is that they can never stop me from loving. This love I have for you is in my opinion a very good thing and is necessary for you to reach your potential. I shudder when I think about how the government threatened you. It scares me when I think of all the the other families who must have gone through what we did. If any ministry workers doubt my will or ability to fight them, they need to realize I am motivated not by anger, but by love for a child. It is boundless.

This work is also dedicated to all fathers, especially those who have been denied access and other rights. Finally, it is dedicated to step fathers the world over. They know something the ministry apparently never will: love doesn't need blood.

Anti-Dedication: (Spits and Kicks)

This work is anti-dedicated to Celia Huber, Charles Hodgson and Beverly Kerr, three criminals employed by the MCFD. These three unprofessional and compassionless workers removed Elizabeth without any investigation or assessment. They knowingly and willingly falsely claimed in court that she only had one care giver. When the two parents stated their intent to speak the truth in court and present themselves as a family in act and intent, Celia Huber, in the presence of Charles Hodgson told us that if they tried, she'd see to it that Elizabeth spent the first five years of her life going from foster home to foster home to foster home. They threatened an infant with permanent harm in order to deny the parents recourse to the law. They intimidated the parents with this threat and in so doing obstructed justice. Knowingly submit false documents on a court is a fraud upon the court. Their threat also led to the acceptance of a proposal and the subsequent creation of a contract. That is called coercion. Not knowing the meanings of the words which empowers them is gross negligence and that is equal to fraud. Because they threatened an infant in such a disturbingly vile way and the CFCSA specifically

states that children have the right to be free from harm and the threat of harm, their actions are clearly professional misconduct. Because of their gross negligence as to the definition of a child in the Act, they abducted an infant under the color of Law. Because they were all working together to commit these crimes, they are also guilty of conspiracy.

libel- An *untruthful* statement about a person, published in writing or through broadcast media, that injures the persons reputation or standing in the community. Because libel is a tort the injured person can bring a lawsuit against the person who made the false statement. Libel is a form of defamation, as is slander (an untruthful statement that is spoken but not published)

Those three criminals have been informed of the allegations I am making and they have refused to refute or respond. The reason is because they know my words are the truth. They are free to take me to court and charge me with libel and slander, however my defense will be to prove the truthfulness of my statements. The legal mechanism described within this book has also been pointed out to ministry staff on more than one occasion. **THEY HAVE NEVER DISPUTED MY EXPLANATION OF THE LEGAL MECHANISM FOUND IN THEIR ACT.**

**The humblest citizen in all the land,
when clad in the armour of a righteous
cause, is stronger than all the hosts of
errors.**

WB Jennings

My Story: (Belive it or not)

The following is the truth. One day back in April of 2000, I was chatting on line with a woman in Arizona. We had been chatting for a few weerks and most of our conversations had to do with the nature of God. She told me that she had just had the strangest feeling that I was going to be called to serve God and it would be the most difficult thing I had ever done. I said "Why does He have dietary restrictions?" Just then my neighbour came down the stairs and pounded on my door. Ben is a very large native man. He stands 6'4" and weighs 225lbs. He was very intoxicated and he told me that his spirit guide had told him to take me to the bar. The bar he was reffering to was a dive and not my type of place. I refused to go. He threw my jaket at me, shut down my computer and tyhreatened to knock me out and carry me if he had to. I decided to humour him and go for a quick beer.

Within two minutes of walking in, a beautiful young woman walked in the bar. I swear I thought I spoke the following words, Ben says he never heard me say them however. I heard them like they had come from my own mouth. "She's pregnant and in very big trouble." I noticed all the crack dealers and pimps eyeing her like she was the dinner special and I got a huge sadness settle upon my heart. I was like a big wet carpet and I thought my heart my stop. Suddenly, something grabbed the love in my heart and communicated with mne by vibrating it. "Take her child as your own and you will be foreever blessed." In my minds eye, I had a picture of my father and mother and I realized he had never raised his voice to her let alone his hand. I was being asked to love her and her child like my father had loved his wife and children. I said "Okey Dokey" to the power that grabbed my love and I became a daddy at that moment.

I invited Megan over to our table and in the first fivew miniutes told her she was pregnant. She didn't believe me. She was only a week or two pregnant and was showing absolutely no physiological signs. She had no place to stay that night so I invited her over to my place and she accepted. We became a couple a fewq days later. At this time she was into hard drugs and hjarder living. I told her God had told me she was pregnant and she dismissed it

refusing to believe in a God. When she later found out she was pregnant, it blew her away that I had known and claimed God told me. It empowered her considerably. Apparently, knowing God loves us can do that. She totally cleaned up her act. She quit smoking, drinking and partying and started to look at getting her GED. We were together throughout her pregnancy and moved into a new place together. We had purchased baby things in anticipation of Elizabeth's arrival and we were excited and hopeful. We were a family in both act and intent. We were happy.

Elizabeth was born healthy and very beautiful on Dec 3rd, 2000. This little miracle was a result of my love for her and her mother and her mother's near heroic successful efforts to clean herself up. The next day was without doubt the happiest of my life. When I first held Elizabeth, my heart swelled till I thought it would burst. It was like I had never loved before. I was pumped and motivated and so incredibly hopeful for the future. I was a daddy and Elizabeth was born without any signs of Fetal Alcohol Syndrome or cognitive dysfunction due to cocaine abuse.

On Dec 5th 2000, without first conducting any investigation or assessment, the state 'legally' removed her. They did so through agents Celia Huber and Charles Hodgson. They claimed that Elizabeth only had one care giver. We told them they were wrong, she had two, we were a family in act and intent and had they investigated they would have known that. We told them we would prove in court that they had not investigated and that we were a family. Celia Huber, in the presence of Charles Hodgson, told us that if we tried, she would see to it that Elizabeth spent **the first five years of her life going from foster home to foster home to foster home**. What loving parent would risk that?

They tore our family apart and made Megan care for Elizabeth all alone. They halved the level of care that Elizabeth was to receive and they doubled Megan's work load. They endangered Elizabeth's life through their criminal actions.

I sat down with their Act and I had Black's Law dictionary on one side and Bouviers on the other. I looked up every single word. It took me three days. When I was done, I was stunned. I said one word "Sonofabitch". Some of their words do not mean what

you think they do. They expand definitions and they use a great deal of deception. It is much like those laser engraved pictures which you can only see if you focus past the image. To see their deception you have to dig past layers of twisty words, but its there.

They came into my life and destroyed our family and seriously harmed the two people I loved the most. I committed no crime, faced no charges nor called into court, yet I lost my family. They threatened an innocent two day old infant with permanent irreparable harm and endangered Elizabeth's life. They are deceivers and rely on our ignorance for their authority. They exercise that authority without understanding, restraint or compassion. They should know better than to threaten an infant. Their evil and vile lies destroyed my family and almost destroyed me.

“There is no cruder tyranny than that which is perpetuated under the shield of Law an in the name of justice.”

Montesquieu (1689-1755)

Their Story (The road to where is paved with what?)

Obviously these workers didn't just pull a name out of a hat. They must have felt they had reason to act and in the interest of truth and justice, I will tell you their side too. I am not saying they were wrong to investigate. The mother did have a history of drug abuse. Also, they asre used to men who abuse their spouses and abdicate parental responsibilities. Me standing as I was, willing to shoulder the burden of a child not mine by blood must have seemed very weird to them. Also there was a very big difference in ages. Elizabeth's mother was a minor when I met her and only 17 years old. Although she presented herself as and acted like an adult and was wise beyond her years, the difference in ages made them believe I was a predator.

They must have been morally offended by the situation they had found. Maybe you the reader are as well. To those who are I must ask: Would Elizabeth being born with FAS or cognitive dysfunction caused by crack cocaine be more or less offensive? Me loving and caring was not immoral, unlawful or wrong. Them threatening an infant was. I found out about the true age of the mother seven months into the pregnancy. I realized that although our relationship had to change, the one between myself and Elizabeth could not.

I was still fully dedicated to her and she didn't care one bit about our ages. I did not see her mother and I being together for ever. I saw myself helping her get her GED and into college where I hoped she would meet a decent guy closer to her age and Elizabeth would have two loving dads. I saw nothing wrong with that. Any one who is offended by my actions during those months need only to look at Elizabeth. She's here healthy and well.

The Meaning of Words (Strapolating the Displatsia)

Words have immense power. Without the words in the CFSA nobody would have been able to remove Elizabeth. Often time we look only to the definition of a word to uncover it's meaning when much more is required. To understand what a word means you must look at the definition, the assumptions it rests upon and the implications it creates.

When it comes to the Law, a word can mean whatever those using it agree to. If one party can subtly change the definition without the other party being aware of it, the first party will have great power over the second. The way words are assembled into sentences can also result in the unintentional transfer of authority. If I can cause you to believe that you are obligated to engage in a certain action, but by fulfilling that action you equip me with proof that you begged, you have empowered me immensely. People do not beg to fulfill obligations. If you begged you must have known you were not obligated. Those who beg are assumed to accept the authority to grant. You do not beg from someone unless they have authority to grant. Therefore you were either acknowledging the authority or you were willing to create it. Maybe you think you have never begged. If you have ever filled out an application, you have begged.

“When I use a word,” Humpty Dumpty said in a rather scornful tone, “it means just what I choose it to mean- neither more nor less.” “The questions is,” said Alice, “whether you can make words means so many differnet things.”
“The question is,” said Humpty Dumpty, “which is to be master- that’s all”

The Nature of Power (May the force stay with you)

The human beings who destroyed my family did so while acting as government agents. Although still human beings and bound by the law, they have been granted authority to act by the government. If they be agents, they must have a principal. Any power they have came to them from that principal. They can not have more power than that which is given them. There is a maxim of law which states ‘the power derived cannot be greater than the source it is derived from.’ Another states “what I cannot do myself I cannot do by proxy’. Now who is their principal and where is the authority coming from? Their principal is the government. In Canada, the only form of government recognized as lawful is a representative one. That means their principal has to be your representative. What ever power those people have can not be greater than the power you can lawfully give to your representatives. Their power came from you and since a representative relationship is built upon mutual consent, you never had to give it to them to begin with. If it is unlawful for you to come and remove a child for no reason, it is also unlawful for those you hire to do so.

How do they do it? How can they get away with doing things you and your neighbors never could? Could they gang up on you and remove your children without just cause? Imagine a couple of neighbours coming into your life and taking your kids away from you because they believe they can do more for them than you can. Now imagine they are drunk, not on something relatively benign like alcohol, but on something much worse, like power. The answer lies in their lies. When they remove a child they are removing that which is defined as a child in the Act which empowers them. You assume child means human being, right? Just because ‘child’ means human being in the real world doesn’t mean it will in the governments legal one. What if the word ‘child’ in that Act refers not to your offspring, but to their property?

The CFSA defines a child as ‘a person under 19 years of age’. Do you see the words human being or natural person? No? Than what ever a child is, we know what it isn’t. It isn’t a human being or a natural person. We assume that a ‘person’ and a ‘human being’ are one and the same. This however is not the case.

The word person can mean a human being, it can also mean a legal entity. A corporation is a person, it is not however a human being. Black's Law Dictionary states this : **'a human being is not a person because he is a human being but rather because rights and duties have been ascribed to them. Specifically, the *person* is the legal subject or substance of which the rights and duties are attributes.'**

That dictionary clearly distinguishes between a human being and a person. They are two separate things existing in association with each other. The big question then must be this: Is the Act referring to a human being or a legal entity when it defines a child? Let's examine the options.

The mere fact that they bother to define a child is indicative of something. The lawmakers must have had some reason to believe that the workers would not know what a child was. They had to believe that or else why include the definition at all? Either the authors thought that the government agents are idiots or the definition has been subtly changed. Had they not bothered to define a child, the government would have had a very strong argument that a child was a human being. The word would have to mean what it normally does to a reasonable person, That is option #1. They could have defined a child as a human being or a natural person. Again this would have given them an unassailable argument that that child means human being. Those are option #2 and #3. Finally, they could call a child a person. This is the worst choice. It does not define a child clearly specifically and unequivocally as a human being and it introduces ambiguity. They have so many ways to define a child and cause that word to mean a 'human being'. There is only one word available to define a legal entity. That word is 'person'. Do they expect the only word that can refer to the legal entity to also mean a human being? If the lawmakers wanted to express their right to act upon a legal entity, what word besides 'person' could they use?

“A lie would have no sense unless the truth were felt dangerous.”

Alfred Adler

Your child's person

When we register our offspring with the government, we are voluntarily creating a legal entity or a person. We are associating that entity with our offspring and then abandoning ownership of the person created. The government seize it under the laws of maritime salvage and it becomes their chattel property. They have the right to seize it whenever they want, after all it's their property!

Before registering your baby, ask the ministry of Vital; Statistics the questions found at the back of this book. Watch their responses. They use a lot of deception to get you to believe you are obligated to register, You are not. They tell you right on the registration documents "Every parent MUST register" .. What they don't tell you is that 'must' is legally synonymous with 'may'. They are extending you an invitation and wording it to sound like a command. You do not have to accept their invitation.

In order to get a Birth Certificate, you have to send in the Record of Live Birth. Without the ROLB, you can't get a certificate. The Record of Live Birth is the king of documents and of far more value than the Birth Certificate. What you actually have is a certificate evidencing that there is a Record of Live Birth in existence and that you voluntarily surrendered it. It states that you voluntarily created a legal entity, associated it with your offspring and then abandoned control and ownership of the legal entity.

Can you get a Birth Certificate if you send in a certified true copy of the original Record of Live Birth? The answer is no. They need to hold the original document. Who owns it then? If they insist on having the original, you know they are swindling you.

In a nutshell, here is the mechanism they use to take your children.

1- A legal entity is created by getting you to register. You created it, you did so voluntarily and you did so knowingly and willingly. As a matter of fact, you begged them to let you register.

2- You failed to maintain ownership and control of the person you created and associated with your offspring, and the government seizes it under salvage laws. It becomes their chattel property.

3- When government agents 'legally remove a child' (Hi Beverly, Celia and Charles!) they are removing that which is defined as a

child in the Children and Family Services Act. The Act defines a 'child' as a 'person'.

4- They are removing the legal entity you created. Since it exists in association with your offspring, and you are maintaining that association, actions upon the legal entity affect the natural entity.

The legal mechanism they employ however gives you a great deal of power, but you have to know of it's existence. It is possible for government agents to remove a 'child' and not remove your offspring. You can break the associations you have created. If agents come for your child, you can hand them the Birth Certificate and a document evidencing that you are immediately disassociating our offspring from the legal person they seek. They now have that which is defined as a child in the Act, what they do not have is a human being. Nor do they have any right to try to take a human being. If they try to take your offspring after that, they are abducting your child and acting under the color of Law.

You have the right to use potentially lethal force against anyone who attempts to abduct your offspring, even if they are government agents and operating under the color of Law.

“Those who benefit from some societal mechanism rarely wish to understand that mechanism, especially if it gives them authority, and understanding it would limit or diminish that authority”

Robert Menard

What you can expect:

When these people come for your baby they will use whatever dirty tricks they can. They are bureaucrats, they need cases otherwise they can't justify their existence as an organization. Plus, according to their own Quality Assurance Department, they have a very strong motivation for taking you to court. Apparently, once the courts are involved, their actions cannot be reviewed. Their ultimate goal is to get a court order enforcing an agreement between you and them. An agreement is a contract and must be voluntary for it to be lawful. You are never obliged to agree with them or accept any of their proposals. Remember that they use deception and you have a right to refuse to contract with those who deceive. If they come at you with a proposal they are trying to generate an agreement. The moment you accept their proposal they will drag you into court. If they take you to court, they are creating an adversarial relationship. They are antagonizing you and are stating their intent to be your foe or enemy. An enemy is one who seeks your destruction. Why contract with a party that seeks to destroy you?

Buried in the proposal will be the conditions that they will later use to remove your children. Reject all proposals out right, not because off the content but because of the party proposing. If you have reason to believe that a party is deceptive (which after reading this you do!) you have every reason in the world to reject their proposals. If they ask why say, "Because you refuse to answer my questions." Then ask them the questions provided. Point out that Celia, Charles and Beverly are still employed by the ministry and they are criminals, therefore you refuse to contract with the ministry at all.

When they speak with you while conducting an investigation, remember they are not your friends and they do not care if they harm your child. They care less about the truth and more about making a case against you. Before you answer any questions ask your own. Force them to defend their authority. Answer every question with one of your own, or SHUT UP. They will use your words against you. Your best question is this: "Am I obligated to answer that question?" When they say "no", which they must, SHUT UP.

They will also try to separate the parents and get them to say incriminating things about each other, especially abuse. Hold hands and refuse to be separated. They will also try to get your children to make disclosures about you and they will use fear, coercion and manipulation to do it. They will claim the right to have access to your children. The reason they can do this is because they are demanding access to their property.

They claim they have the right to be alone with your child and interview them without you present, as you might intimidate the child. You can still demand that you have a representative present, and if the child doesn't know that they are representing the parents, there can be no claim of intimidation. Before you go to court, they will have a family meeting. At this point they are supposed to give you the documents they are presenting to court. They will likely say that they forgot those documents at the office and will give them to you outside court. The less time you have to study those documents the better they can manipulate you and the courts. You can insist that they provide those documents then and there, or play along with them. On the day you are supposed to go to court, don't go. If you haven't been served, do not attend. The judge will be very angry and not at you either! They will have already claimed that served the documents when they hadn't. They love to serve you documents moments before you walk into court. Don't let them. If they do not serve you documents 48 hours prior to court, do not go!

They will try to get into your house to do an assessment. Do not let them. They are gathering evidence against you. If they have never been in your house they cannot make claims as to what is or is not there. If they are government agents and they want in your house, there are two ways, you invite them or they get a warrant. Make them get one.

They will suggest a lawyer for you and sound very caring. Then they will give you a name of a lawyer to use. Chances are the lawyer they suggest will work with them and not against them. Likely the lawyer used to work for the ministry. Find your own lawyer and if in Vancouver, do not use a lawyer named Bruce Clookie. When asked if a proposal was a contract upon acceptance, he said "No... Yes... No... Yes... Yes" Do not use one suggested by them. Find one who recognizes the ministry for what it is; an evil deceptive organization.

They will threaten your children. They will tell you that if you try to fight them in court your child will spend the next five years going from foster home to foster home to foster home. They will tell you that the good ones are already full and that they may have to place your child with a foster parent who is being investigated for sexual abuse. Carry a small tape recorder and capture these threats on tape and take it to the police. An implied threat against your child is more than enough reason to refuse intercourse with the ministry.

Remember, if you disassociate your offspring from that which is defined as a 'child' in the CFCSA, the workers have no rights over your kids at all. **You can lawfully use potentially lethal force against MCFD workers if they try to touch your children at that point.**

Learn their dirty tricks.

They Want Your Kids

The first MCFD dirty trick is making you think they would NEVER use dirty tricks to take your children. Beneath the nice exterior of your friendly MCFD agent is a person whose job is to take children - your children. MCFD takes children from about 25% of the families for which they provide services, and that percentage is on the increase.

They Only Want to “Help” You

MCFD is there to help you, right? Wrong. Former Speaker of the House Jim Wright, a Texan, was a master at “helping” his opponents. He would say, when he was trying to twist the arms of his colleagues, “Ah only want to Hep yew.” When he was “heppin’ yew”, that’s when you had to be the most careful. It is the same with the MCFD.

Some MCFD agents want to help families, and some don’t. However, the system itself rarely does. Most MCFD agents don’t know or respect the restrictions in the law against taking children, and no one holds them accountable. Even judges cannot reign them in. So they do as they please, not what the law allows or requires. They have managed to form their own secret police, just like in Communist countries.

Many people ask the MCFD for “voluntary services”, only to find out too late that asking for help brings the whole MCFD down on them. That is literally asking to have your children taken.

The law says that MCFD must try to unite families, not destroy them. Specifically, Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 119, Section 1 says: “It is hereby declared to be the policy of this Commonwealth to direct its efforts, first, to the strengthening and encouragement of family life for the protection and care of children . . .” They talk about help, and stabilizing intact families, and therapy, but it’s all a cruel lie. They really want to take your kids.

So, their first dirty trick is to say they want to help you. Don’t fall for it. They will offer “services”, and home visits, and nice chats about your life and the bad things your spouse did. Don’t do it. Shut up. They do not want to help you. Be pleasant, but firm. As explained in the next section, if you talk, or accept their ‘services’, you may never see your children again.

Play by the Rules - Lose Your Children. Can This Be Happening in Canada?

If you foolishly think that MCFD will play by the rules, then they will exploit your weakness, and take your children. If you play by the rules, they will exploit that, and take your children. But if you know how the game is played, you may have a chance to keep your children, or get them back after they are taken. The secret police can be outsmarted.

You will likely ask yourself, “How can this be happening in America?” It is happening, and it is because politicians have been willing to compromise liberty and family rights for support from radical Marxists and feminists who demanded these laws. While no one was watching, these people stole our American System of law and due process, and family rights, and liberty, and quietly substituted this new nightmare of a secret police system that you are now dealing with.

People assume that they will get justice in our courts. No longer. It’s gone. The old Canada that respected family privacy is gone. A new Soviet-style system has taken its place. The sooner you acknowledge that horrible reality, the sooner you stop believing that the old system just HAS to give you justice, the sooner you can start fighting back in an effective manner.

SHUT UP!

Everything You Say Will be Used Against You in a Court of Law To Take Your Kids.

The two most important words to know in dealing with MCFD agents are “SHUT UP”. You have the right to remain silent, but they will try to get you to talk. Without your words, they have only what they can coerce out of your children.

“If You Talk, We May Go Easier On You”

The MCFD agent will try to convince you to talk, because she only wants to “help” you, and they will make trouble for you if you don’t. Don’t believe them. Without your “disclosures”, they can’t destroy you. Put another way, with your disclosures, they CAN destroy you. Many people panic, feeling that they nothing to hide, so they talk. However, if you do, you may never see your children again. Why? Because these are trained interrogators, and you are no match for them.

What do they want to talk about? Anything, because you will eventually give them a tidbit about abuse here, a “disclosure” there, and then, out of your own mouth, they have their case against you. For example, if you admit to having trouble with your spouse, the MCFD agent will force you to get a restraining order, and throw the “abuser” out. You will have to write out a statement under oath about the “abuse”. Then, they have their case against your family, using your own words to prove there is “domestic violence”.

If you talk, you will destroy your family by your own words. You may have merely complained about some minor thing, but you just made their case for them. You may never see your children again. So, SHUT UP! I cannot say it too forcefully, or enough.

Everything You Say Will be Twisted

Everything you say will show up in a report, in a twisted version you will not recognize. If you say you argue with your husband, the report will say, “Husband is verbally abusive”. If you say that you discipline your children by spanking them, the report will say, “Parents physically abuse children.” If you tell them you are depressed, the report will say, “Parent has mental health issues.” If you say your spouse drinks, he will become an “alcoholic” in the report.

Then they will send you to their approved therapist to obtain further admissions, who they can count on to report to them every word you say, in violation of the patient confidentiality law. Don’t go to therapy unless you are absolutely certain that the therapist despises MCFD.

So what should you do? Act like a prisoner of war, which you are. Give them your name, rank and serial number, and nothing more.

Get a Lawyer!

The best thing you can do is get a lawyer, the right kind of lawyer, one who despises MCFD, and then you can blame him for not talking. Tell the MCFD agent that you are terribly sorry, that you really want to cooperate, but your lawyer has told you that all communication must go through him. Do not sign anything, particularly a “Service Plan”, because it will have admissions galore in it. Just tell them that your lawyer has instructed you to not sign anything until he can review it.

You must do all this with a pleasant smile, and sound sorry that you can't "help" them. Blaming the lawyer is a wonderful thing. What can they do? Everyone knows lawyers are evil.

So, SHUT UP. If you know just those two words, you will have prevented about 90% of your potential future problems.

The MCFD Agent - Profile of a Real Abuser

If you have ever had your children yanked out of your home by a MCFD agent, or have been shoved aside by one in your own home so that she could talk to your child privately, or suffered some other indignity at their hands, you must wonder - what kind of monsters are these people?

They are abusers, at least by their own definition. Genuine abuse exists, of course, and is defined in the law as doing severe physical harm. Real child abusers should be criminally prosecuted, and jailed. But more often than not, the MCFD agent's definition of abuse is some psychic perversion of her own making, rather than real abuse. It often means you have religious or family beliefs that differ from those of the MCFD agent. Recently, a petition for removal cited a mother praying with her child as harmful.

Men are Abusers, Women are Victims, Children are Ours

Most MCFD agents actually believe that children should belong to the state, and that parents are evil. Their motto could be:

women are victims

men are abusers

children are ours

This changes only if the woman will not become a victim for them, or if the man feminizes himself properly. If you play the victim (even a male victim), and you "need" them, they will work with you. If you keep your dignity and independence (even if you are a woman), then you must be crushed. Victims thrive in their perverse world. Independent people who do not need them have their children taken away.

Kid-less, Clueless

Many MCFD agents do not have children of their own, and do not understand that families go through some bad patches once in a while, and just have to be given some room to work it out. The MCFD agent, upon hearing from one of their police-state snitches, will swoop in like a vulture, and steal your children. No mercy. No explanations.

They will, without a pang of conscience, traumatize your children by prying their little fingers off your legs, as they wail and cry, and sticking them in their car, maybe never to be seen by you again. Or rip your children, screaming and panicked, from your arms, while sneering about what an abuser you are. Paul the Apostle nailed them, when he called such people, “senseless, faithless, heartless, ruthless.” (Romans 1:31).

Then, after ruining your whole life by taking your kids, MCFD agents actually wonder why you don’t warm up to them, and don’t want to work with them. It’s as though they had punched you in the nose, and then wonder why you bleed.

Children Must Be Taken From Families!

Most MCFD agents are either classic Fascists or Marxists, which means they believe children belong to the state. You get to spawn, feed and clothe them, but then the state must educate them, train them to be wards of the state, and take them if you mess up. They believe the state is god, and support its domination in all spheres of life. They believe that rights derive from government authority, not from God, and so they resent all authority which does not acknowledge that. If you are religious, they will likely show a terrible bias against you because of it. They hate family autonomy, parental authority, home schoolers, and church authority.

This is not an exaggeration. Dr. Mary Jo Bane, Clinton’s Assistant Secretary of Administration for Children and Families in the Department of Health and Human Services, said: “If we want to talk about equality of opportunity for children, then the fact that children are raised in families means there’s no equality . . . In order to raise children with equality, we must take them away from families and communally raise them.” Her federal department is in charge of the national effort to mold state MCFD departments into their image.

First Step- Get “Disclosures”

When MCFD agents come to your door, they have one goal - to get “disclosures” from one parent about how she fears the other, or how he ‘abuses’ her, or argues, or that there are some kind of family problems. Thus, the critical importance of the advice to SHUT UP, and to not say anything bad about your partner. If you do, it will be the beginning of the end, and these disclosures will form the basis for the MCFD case against you, following like day after night.

Second Step - Get a Restraining Order

If one parent says something negative about the other one, then the MCFD agent can force the talking parent to get a restraining order against the other one. The MCFD agent will usually threaten: “If you don’t get a restraining order against him, we will take (or keep) your children.” Then the person is forced to choose - husband or children.

They will then drive the woman to court to get a restraining order, and even force her to commit perjury, by claiming she is suffering abuse when there is none. The court will almost always issue the order on coerced and perjured testimony. Never mind that forcing someone to testify falsely is a crime, called “suborning perjury”. Courts know they do it, but no one stops them.

The results of this are many. The husband is thrown out of the house. They have added to their ‘abuse’ statistics. To get the order, you have filled out a statement under oath claiming abuse, and they now have a basis for a case against your family.

Step Three - Get the Other Parent to Retaliate

With a restraining order now in hand, which “proves” abuse, and the “disclosures” the woman gives them, they now have proof that the other parent is unfit. Then, they will go to the other parent, usually the man, and work him over. They will tell him the woman said nasty things about him in an effort to get him to say of nasty things about her. Either in defense or retaliation, the man will often comply.

Now they can prove both parents unfit, and they almost own your family. Only one step remains. Get the children to make disclosures about the parents.d for the “abolition of the family.” The MCFD agents have read that book, and they are coming for your children.

Divide and Conquer –

Part 2

Getting the Child to Rat Out the Parent

Whether or not they can get one parent to rat out the other, they will go to work on the children to make ‘disclosures’ about the parents. This is one of their dirtiest of tricks, and one of the many reasons they are themselves are abusers. They will manipulate your frightened children into saying almost anything that they want to hear. They have several tactics, described below:

Every Child Needs Therapy

First and foremost, EVERY child they steal goes into therapy, not to help the child, but to obtain disclosures against you. Obviously, every child they take cannot be mentally ill, but that does not matter- therapy is the order of the day. They must get the admissions from the child to make the case against you and prove you are unfit.

If the “therapist” can obtain any scrap of information from the child about you that may hint of abuse, she will breathlessly run to the MCFD and excitedly tell the MCFD agent. High fives all around. They now have a case!

The “therapists” they use are often unlicensed, and work for huge bloated “therapy mills”, which are little more than arms of the MCFD. For example, South Bay Mental Health Associates has eight offices, each of them in a city with a MCFD office. Four of the eight are in the same building as a MCFD office! The other four are next door or nearby. The unlicensed “therapists” make illegal medical and clinical diagnoses of your child (even if the child’s own doctor has made a completely different diagnosis), to prove there has been abuse.

Prisoner of War Tactics

The tactics used by these fake therapists are akin to those used by interrogators in war. The strategies work with children, because they are frightened, traumatized, taken out of the security of their home, and are often being abused by their foster parents. Under these circumstances, your own children may say the most amazing and untrue things about you after a few months in captivity. In Soviet Russia, prisoners would eventually admit to most anything,

One technique they use is repeatedly suggesting to the child that mommy and daddy abused them. They implant the idea in the frightened child's head until the child "recovers memories" of the past abuse. They repeatedly ask, "Did Daddy touch you there?" If the answer is no, they ask the same question more insistently, use doll therapy, or find some other way to make the child feel "comfortable" about making admissions.

These sessions can go on weekly for months, or even a year. After that amount of coercion, it is not surprising that they get admissions.

They always believe a child's "disclosures", but never his denials.

The process only goes one way, like a ratchet. If they can get a disclosure using coercion, manipulation, fear, emotional or physical abuse, appeal to pleasing the captors, or anything else short of torture, that admission becomes the "truth". It can now never be denied, even if the child repeatedly recants it. Then they go to work to get the child to say more, which will also become more "truth" which can never be denied. They always believe a child's disclosures, but never his denials.

The best thing you can do to counter this dirty trick is to make sure, in advance, that your child knows that you only talk to mommy and daddy about things going on in the family, never outsiders. If your children have the inner strength to resist their predatory tactics, and don't make disclosures to the interrogators, you will likely get them back.

Your Enemy, the Lawyer - Don't Get One of Theirs

Not only will the MCFD try to divide and conquer you, your partner, and your children, but they will try to compromise your lawyer, as well. This is especially true if each partner has a court appointed lawyer, along with a different one for the kids.

Yukking it Up With MCFD

A lot of the lawyers who rely on MCFD for their work are in cahoots with them, but you may not know it until it is too late. Some agree with their agenda, and others are just too timid to stand up to them.

How do you tell if you have the right lawyer? You have the wrong one if she tells you to cooperate with MCFD! You have the wrong one if you see her laughing it up with the MCFD lawyer or social workers. One lawyer is so reliable for MCFD, he has his own key to the local MCFD office!

The right lawyer will have courage, will believe in the rights of the individual against the state, will respect constitutional restraint on government, will hate the police-state tactics of the MCFD, will affirm parental and family authority against the state, will stand up to every unlawful and biased ruling of a judge without fear, and will hate the MCFD with a gut-level hatred because it respects none of these things.

More Divide and Conquer

Another ploy by MCFD is to have two separate lawyers for each spouse, who often work against each other. Although your partner is sometimes unfortunately your adversary, your real enemy is always MCFD. However, the lawyers often work at odds with each other, attacking the other partner, rather than working to get the kids back from MCFD together.

The “72 Hour Hearing” A Cruel Mockery by MCFD

If MCFD takes your children, the law requires the court to schedule a hearing within 72 hours, where you can prove that they should give your children back. That 72 hours includes weekends, so if they snatch them late Friday (their favorite time, because the court isn't open), they technically must give you a hearing late Monday. They don't. The MCFD Dirty Tricks machine usually goes into overdrive at these hearings, because if you win, they have to give your children back to you.

72 Hours or 72 Days

Their first tactic is to delay the hearing as long as possible, to get the disclosures from you and your children they need to put on their case. Courts accommodate, and often extend the hearing past the date required by law. The bright side of this illegal dirty trick is that you, too, get more time to prepare.

Waive the 72 Hour Hearing, and Wave Goodbye to Your Children

Many MCFD lawyers will urge you to waive the 72 hour hearing. **DO NOT DO IT**, unless you perpetrated serious abuse. You must go on record as opposing the MCFD taking your kids. If you do not, it can be used against you later, and you give up the only chance you will have for a full year to try to get your kids back.

The divide and conquer strategy works very well for the MCFD at these hearings. If they can get the spouses and their lawyers to go at each other at this early stage, instead of fighting the REAL enemy (MCFD), they easily win. Tell your lawyer to fight in collaboration with the other lawyers, so your kids may come home.

The Judge Will Ignore The Actual Legal Standard

The legal standard for MCFD keeping your children is in Mass. General Laws, Chapter 119, Sections 24 and 29C. The MCFD usually fails to prove their case, but the Judge will let them keep your children anyway. To keep custody of your children, the MCFD must prove that:

1. They have made “reasonable efforts” to prevent or eliminate the need for removal of a child from the home prior to stealing the child. They rarely do. (Section 29C)
2. The child is “suffering from serious abuse or neglect or is in immediate danger of serious abuse or neglect.” (Section 24) This means wounds, broken bones, burns, starvation, or the like. It does not mean spanking or psychic harm.
3. Removal continues to be “necessary to protect the child from serious abuse or neglect”. (Section

“We Just Need to Come in to Do Our Assessment”

MCFD agents want to come in to your house many times during this ordeal, for any number of reasons. It is hard to keep them out, but try your best. They want evidence to use against you, no matter what excuse they give.

The MCFD agents will say that they just want to come in for an ‘assessment’, or to get some basic information from you, or to see the condition of your home. However, what they really want is to separate

your children from you and get “disclosures” of abuse, to get admissions from one parent about the other, to find defects in your housekeeping, or the like. Later visits will have the same purpose, though they will give other reasons

Make ‘Em Get A Warrant

Should you let them in? Can you even keep them out? The answer is: Maybe. The law as written allows them to come in without a warrant, but the Constitution, which is over the law, does not.

Every subject has a right to be secure from all unreasonable searches, and seizures, of his person, his houses, his papers, and all his possessions. All warrants, therefore, are contrary to this right, if the cause or foundation for them be not previously supported by oath or affirmation; and if the order in the warrant to a civil officer, to make search in suspected places, or to arrest one or more suspected persons, or to seize their property, be not accompanied with a special designation of the persons or objects of search, arrest, or seizure: and no warrant ought to be issued but in cases, and with the formalities prescribed by the laws.

This Article says they cannot come in or take your children without a warrant. However, courts usually ignore the clear wording of our Constitution, and turn a blind eye to this outrageous wrong. Their excuse is that the law does not apply because the “best interest of the children” overrides the law. My recommendation: Stand on your rights, and politely, but firmly, demand a warrant before letting them in. Talk to your lawyer before doing this, because it is risky, even though it is right. If there is a policeman with a gun, who will not back off when confronted with the Constitutional requirement to get a warrant, you better let him in, and live to fight another day.

Everyone is a Snitch- Who Can You Talk To?

One of the scariest MCFD dirty tricks is a vast Soviet Style snitch network which they have set up all over the state, with the force of law, to report you to the authorities. This network is made up of ALL teachers, doctors, nurses, counselors, therapists, police officers, dentists, chiropractors, day care workers, school counselors, etc. These people must report any suspected “abuse”, or be prosecuted and fined. They cannot be prosecuted for making a false report, unless it is frivolous. See Mass. Gen Laws Chapter 119, Section 51A.

All these people, called “mandated reporters”, have been endlessly taught the importance of reporting. All their professional seminars, their whole culture, demands that they report, just in case. When in doubt, they report. Then, if there really is abuse, they won’t get in trouble. Thus, the MCFD has a seamless web of snoops and spies just about everywhere a child is likely

Even Your Child is a Snitch

Even worse, you are no longer safe from the MCFD police in your own home. They have also found a way to turn your own child against you. At the government (public) school, children are given the MCFD phone number, and are taught to report things they see in the home to the authorities, like corporal punishment, drug use, and even family arguments. They break down children’s respect for their parents, and foster a submission to the state.

What they don’t tell a child is that if he reports his parents to MCFD, he may destroy his whole family forever. The child may be mad about getting disciplined, so he’ll get even by siccing MCFD on them. Then, they take that child, and all the other ones, too, just in case. MCFD didn’t tell the child that it would happen that way, but now it’s too late, just like Judas.

You Thought You Were Getting Help

When you go to a counselor to get help, when you take Johnny to the emergency room if he falls off his bike, or when you talk to his school counselor, watch out. You are now a suspect. The person to whom you turned for help is likely your enemy, and may turn you in. You may go for help, and end up losing your kids. You need to think differently about how to get help. Of course, never ask the MCFD for help. Many people do, and lose their kids.

Where Can You Go?

Who can you go to? Only two types of professionals cannot be made to divulge what you tell them, clergy and lawyers. Both are a little shaky, either due to fear of MCFD, or because they agree with the doctrine of state control of families.

Clergy have a privilege not to report, or to testify about what you say to them. Lawyers have an even more ironclad privilege, called “attorney-client privilege”. Many lawyers, however, wanting to curry favor with MCFD, will actually betray their client’s confidences. So, find a clergyman or a lawyer who hates MCFD, and tell him your problems. That clergyman or lawyer may be able to help you find a medical professional who hates MCFD enough to not report if your child has been hurt.

Solve Half of Your Snitch Problem With This One Action

The other thing you MUST do is get your children out of the government (public) school. It is the major pipeline from MCFD to your child. MCFD takes children right out of school when they can coerce them into making “disclosures”, and they don’t come home that day. Sometimes MCFD doesn’t even tell the parents, and when mom comes to pick up the child at the bus stop, there is no child, and no one knows why. Needless to say, the parents are frantic, until they finally figure out what happened.

If you send your kids to a government school, you are sending them to your enemy to be educated. They will be taught to hate you and your values, and to sell you out. Get them out, before it’s too late. This is the principle of “staying under the radar”, which we review in detail in the “Fight Back” section.

Follow the Money - There’s Gold in Them Thar’ Kids

If MCFD has stolen your children, you are probably tormented, thinking, “Why? Why?”, anguishing day after sleepless night over why this has all happened. As with many things in life, when there seems to be no rational basis for what has happened - follow the money.

In this case, the MCFD can make a ton of money by ‘leasing’ out your child to a foster home for a year, and then ‘selling’ (adopting out) the child at the end of the ‘lease’. While the child is in their captivity, they can leverage lots of state and federal cash from numerous programs. If MCFD can get you to incriminate yourself, or manipulate your child into making false disclosures, they can get a court to terminate your parental rights, and then adopt the child out, for more big bucks.

The More They Take, the More They Make

A recent federal law actually establishes adoption quotas for each state, with bonuses for each child adopted out, and even more money for every child adopted exceeding the quota. 'Special needs' children earn even more, both during the 'lease' period and at the final 'sale'. A researcher in one state found that a severely needy child can earn its masters up to \$250,000 a year in government money. We are still awaiting the figures for the Commonwealth, but the state budget for the MCFD alone is over half a billion dollars, not including the money for lots of outside contractors and medical care.

If They Can Keep the Children Long Enough, They Must Be Adopted

Keeping the children for a year is important, because, under a new law, they must adopt them out after 15 months!. So, if MCFD can keep your children a little over a year, they can sell them for some significant cash. They will drag out the case as long as possible to manipulate this outcome. Yes, they will literally SELL your children because they themselves have kept them too long. You should see, as I have, the MCFD agents having warm fuzzies over this, while the parents bitterly weep.

If you finally manage to get your case to trial, that is the first time you or your lawyer will have to tell your story to a judge. However, the fact that MCFD has kept your children for a year can actually be used against you at the trial! The MCFD will argue that it would traumatize the children to take them from their foster home, because they have now bonded with the foster parents. In their warped view, it would traumatize them to send them back to the parents, even though it didn't bother them to rip your baby out of your arms a year before.

Service Plans - "Sign Ze Papers, Or Else"

After the MCFD takes your child, snoops around your house, and gets you to incriminate yourself, you are then ready for one of their next dirty tricks - the so-called "Service Plan".

This 7 to 10 page document is a cut-and-paste monstrosity that serves the purposes of the MCFD: That is, to get you to give evidence against yourself, and to remold you into embracing big brother through therapy and re-education. It keeps you occupied with the hope that you will get your children back, while it dampens your anger against the nice folks who

kidnapped them.

Don't sign it, unless your lawyer advises you to do so. If you sign it, you are admitting to your 'crimes' (sometimes literally), committing yourself to therapy, and possibly ensuring that you will never see your kids again. The MCFD agent will exert much pressure to have you sign this plan. Don't do it, despite their threats that not cooperating will make it worse for you, and prolong getting your kids back.

There are many other good reasons to not sign their plan. For example, many plans require attendance at a batterers program. If you go to such a program, you are given two bad options: 1) Admit you are a batterer, and then you will not get your kids back; Or 2) Deny you are a batterer, then you are a "batterer in denial", and you will not get your kids back. Either way, you lose.

Every service plan requires therapy, the sacred MCFD sacrament, and it must be from a therapist of their choice, so that MCFD can have access to all the information to help them make their case against you. Women are often sentenced to attend group victim therapy, where everyone is supposed to sit around and whine about their victim hood, and affirm everyone else's. No one is allowed to get past her victim hood, or there would be no need for them. Statements made at these victim groups are immediately reported to the secret police at MCFD.

The best tactic to finesse MCFD pressure to sign their service plan, is to tell them that you must show it to your lawyer, and can't sign it without his approval. This can create substantial delay. Then, actually do as many of the activities required by the plan, as possible, without telling them you are doing so. Get your own therapist who hates MCFD, and get a psychological evaluation, and a glowing report about your therapy sessions. Go to a parenting class. Get urine screens, if that is on it. Do whatever activities on the plan that will not cause either a report to be made to MCFD, or cause a bad result later.

Then, when you go to court for a hearing, and the MCFD says you will not cooperate, you can pull out all the reports and evidence that shows that you did everything they required, and aren't they going to be embarrassed!

Records? What Records? We Got No Stinkin' Records.

It is a well documented fact that MCFD plays dirty tricks with their records, in many ways. They alter and falsify them, in order to make you look worse or themselves better. They 'lose' ones that are helpful to you. They withhold or delay giving you records when you ask for them, in order to keep important information out of your hands. They 'redact', or black out, key parts, so you can't see their dirty tricks.

You are allowed, by law, to get records. The procedure is explained in the appropriate part of the 'Fight Back' section. They keep many kinds of records, and they often fail to give you any that they think you don't know about. Familiarize yourself with them, so you can ask for all the different kinds.

Insist on getting every scrap of paper. Many treasures await you. For example, the little-known and super-secret 29C form, where they usually perjure themselves about why they took your children. They put the most amazing things on paper that will help you make your case, but you won't know it unless you get everything they have.

A MCFD Agent Rules on Whether a MCFD Agent Did the Wrong Thing.

After the MCFD files a report of abuse against you under Mass. General Laws Chapter 119, Chapter 51A, and then "supports" the finding, meaning that they believe it is true, you have an opportunity to contest it at a so-called "Fair Hearing". It is "fair" in the same way a communist show trial is fair - first they try you, then they shoot you.

After you get a written decision that the MCFD supports abuse, you have thirty days to send to Boston and apply for a 'fair hearing'. The address is on the notice they send you. Then comes the dirty trick: The hearing is conducted, not by a judge, but a MCFD lawyer! At the beginning of the hearing, the MCFD lawyer puts on the record that she is neutral, and has no interest in the outcome. Uh-huh.

Even though they almost always affirm that you are an abuser, still go through it. Only then, can you appeal to a court. Plus, it puts on record that you disagree.

One-Size Fits-All Approach to Visitation

The MCFD has a one-size-fits-all approach to visitation with your children: Everyone gets one or two visits a month - supervised. At these visits, the MCFD agent will be watching you like a cat watching a mouse. You won't be allowed to show too much affection to your child. The MCFD feeds your children with all kinds of negative things prior to these visits - even telling them that they will never go back home.

Do everything you can to reassure them that you love them and that you are fighting for your family. However, if you show too much affection, or encourage your children to resist their captors and hang in there, the MCFD agent may stop the visit. Go up to the edge of where they will not terminate the visit early.

Well Looky Here - Another Abuse Complaint

Another visitation dirty trick is to file a new abuse complaint right before you go to court to get your visitation increased, so that they can say: "Horrors, no! There have been more disclosures of abuse. We can't agree to more visits. In fact, we want to terminate them." It doesn't matter that you have not been alone with your kids unsupervised for months. Under continual MCFD interrogation,

Placement With Relatives Means Loss of Control

If the MCFD has stolen your children, the law requires the MCFD to place them with relatives, if some are available, rather than in a foster home. One of their dirty tricks is to delay and frustrate all efforts to do that. The reason is that they lose control. Their foster parents can be counted on to report any 'disclosures', and to help with the case for permanently removing you from the picture. Relatives, on the other hand, usually want to help reunite a family, which is what the MCFD is charged with doing.

Push for the placement with relatives, and don't let their excuses deter you. They will usually do anything they can to drag their feet. Just keep pushing.

If all your pushing gets you nowhere, you could be the victim of one of their particularly dirty tricks: They may have secretly promised, or at least encouraged, the foster parents that they could adopt your children after a year. You may find this out from the MCFD records you obtain. This may explain why the MCFD will not place your children with relatives despite

all your pushing, even when the relatives are clearly an excellent place for them to be. If they promised the foster parents the title to your children, MCFD will use every delay tactic possible to place them with your relatives and avoid obeying the law. Unfortunately, few judges stand up to this.

We Have to Get Them For Something

When the MCFD can't accuse a person of abuse or neglect, because the accusation is against the other partner, they will still try to drag the non-offending partner into it. They do this by saying the innocent partner didn't stop the other person from committing abuse or neglect. They call this neglect by not keeping the child safe from a perpetrator.

It does not matter if you had no idea it was going on. It does not matter if you would have had to lunge ten feet across a room like Superman to stop your child from being spanked. It does not matter if it is a completely false allegation, and there was not ever any abuse or neglect to stop. You are an 'enabler', and you must "recognize the other parent as an abusive caretaker". (Parents are never 'parents' any more; They are 'caretakers'.)

This is one of those dirty tricks that is very hard to oppose, because it addresses a 'thought crime', rather than actual abuse or neglect. The MCFD solution is usually in the form of a 'Service Plan' which requires the parent to admit committing the thought crime of knowing the other person was an abuser (whether it happened or not) and 'owning' that new way of thinking.

Never Forgive - Never Get Over It

If only one partner is accused of being abusive or neglectful, the MCFD will go into a frenzy over 'safety issues' with the other parent, and end up causing far more problems than they pretend to solve. Rather than try to address the problems, and reconcile the family, they toss a grenade into the already difficult situation, and create distrust, mutual accusations, and ruin any chance of reconciliation. They actually despise forgiveness and "moving on", because then there are fewer victims for them to help. Until their 'victims' define the whole of their remaining life in terms of their victimization, they are not thinking properly.

Rather than try to modify abusive or neglectful behavior on the part of the innocent partner, the MCFD approach addresses how they want you to think about the other person's alleged abuse or neglect. If your partner was not abusive or neglectful, or the issues were minor and long past, you still have to do this. Otherwise, you will likely not get the child back. Be aware - thinking incorrectly is now abuse or neglect.

Dirty Tricks Conclusion

It may sound cynical to be so critical of the Ministry of Children, Community and Family Development. But I have wept with too many good parents who have lost their children, to not be cynical. Frankly, the system is evil and corrupt. The courts are helpless in the face of MCFD power, or they affirm it because they believe in the MCFD philosophy.

The exposure of these dirty tricks is only part of the story. You need to know how to fight back. Get a good lawyer. May God bless your efforts to stop this abuse of children, and reunite your family.

Maxims of Law

MAXIM. An established principle or proposition. A principle of law universally admitted, as being just and consonant With reason.

2. Maxims in law are somewhat like axioms in geometry. 1 Bl. Com. 68. They are principles and authorities, and part of the general customs or common law of the land; and are of the same strength as acts of parliament, when the judges have determined what is a maxim; which belongs to the judges and not the jury. Terms do Ley; Doct. & Stud. Dial. 1, c. 8. Maxims of the law are holden for law, and all other cases that may be applied to them shall be taken for granted. 1 Inst. 11. 67; 4 Rep. See 1 Com. c. 68; Plowd. 27, b.

3. The application of the maxim to the case before the court, is generally the only difficulty. The true method of making the application is to ascertain bow the maxim arose, and to consider whether the case to which it is applied is of the same character, or whether it is an exception to an apparently general rule.

4. The alterations of any of the maxims of the common law are dangerous. 2 Inst. 210. The following are some of the more important maxims.

A l'impossible nul n'est tenu. **No one is bound to do what is impossible.** 1 Bouv. Inst. n. 601.

Debile fundamentum, fallit opus. **Where there is a weak foundation, the work falls.** 2 Bouv. Inst. n. 2068.

Derativa potestas non potest esse major primitiva. **The power which is derived cannot be greater than that from which it is derived.**

Est autem vis legem simulans. **Violence may also put on the mask of law.**

Ex malificio non oritur contractus. **A contract cannot arise out of an act radically wrong and illegal.** Broom's Max. 851.

Falsus in uno, falsus in omnibus. **False in one thing, false in everything.** 1 Sumn. 356.

Jura sanguinis nullo jure civili dirimi possunt. **The right of blood and kindred cannot be destroyed by any civil law.** Dig. 50, 17, 9; Bacon's Max. Reg. 11.

Lata culpa dolo aequiparatur. **Gross negligence is equal to fraud.**

Lex semper dabit remedium. **The law always gives a remedy.** 3 Bouv. Inst. n. 2411.

Nomina si nescis perit cognitio rerum. **If you know not the names of things, the knowledge of things themselves perishes.** Co. Litt. 86.

Nomina sunt notae rerum. **Names are the notes of things.** 11 Co. 20.

Non est arctius vinculum inter homines quam jusjurandum. **There is no stronger link among men than an oath.** Jenk. Cent. 126.

Non faciat malum, ut inde veniat bonum. **You are not to do evil that good may come of it.** 11 Co. 74.

Qui bene interrogat, bene docet. **He who questions well, learns well.** 3 Buls. 227.

Qui bene distinguit, bene docet. **He who distinguishes well, learns well.** 2 Co. Inst. 470.

Qui tacet consentire videtur. **He who is silent appears to consent.** Jenk. Cent. 32.

Quod alias bonum et justum est, si per vim vel fraudem petatur, malum et injustum efficitur. **What is otherwise good and just, if sought by force or fraud, becomes bad and unjust.** 3 Co. 78.

Quod per me non possum, nec per alium. **What I cannot do in person, I cannot do by proxy.** 4 Co. 24.

When the foundation fails, all fails.

Before you register your children, ask these questions of the Ministry of Vital Statistics. Decide for yourself what the truth is. Then Act on it.

1. Am I through registration creating a legal entity for my offspring?
2. Will you benefit from us registering our offspring?
3. Am I obligated or required to register my offspring?
4. Will my offspring be accepting any existing debt?
5. Will you be borrowing money based on this person's future tax payments?
6. Without Registration, will my offspring exist legally in **The Province of British Columbia**?
7. Without registration, will the Government know the name of my offspring?
8. If the Government doesn't know the legal name of my offspring, can the government effect a legal removal of my offspring?
9. Is there a legal Entity properly referred to as The Province of British Columbia?
10. If an Act of Registration creates a legal entity, does this entity exist in association with my offspring? Can this association, if one exists, be broken at a later date?
11. Is the act of registration a CONTRACT? Are lawful contracts voluntary?
12. In order to register, do we need to submit an application?
13. What criminal charges, if any, can result from refusing to register?
14. If a legal Entity is created with registration, who OWNS said Entity?

Questions to ask MCFD before you answer any of theirs:

- 1. Under what authority do you act?**
- 2. Is that a statute?**
- 3. Does the CFCSA define a child?**
- 4. Does it define a child clearly, specifically and unequivocally as a human being or Natural Person?**
- 5. Does it define a child as a 'person'?**
- 6. Is a 'person' a legal entity?**
- 7. Are you a government agent?**
- 8. Who is your principle?**
- 9. Do you have an obligation to understand your mandate?**
- 10. Is your mandate composed of words?**
- 11. Do you understand every word that composes your mandate?**
- 12. If you do not understand the words that compose your mandate, how can you understand the mandate itself?**
- 13. Do you understand the source nature and limits of your authority?**
- 14. Are you acting as my representative's agent?**
- 15. Can someone be my representative without my consent?**
- 16. If I immediately remove my consent to be represented, what happens to your claim that you are my representative's agent?**
- 17. If I do not have a representative, can you be my representative's agent?**

- 18. What is a statute?**
- 19. Is ignorance of the law an excuse for breaking the law?**
- 20. If you fail to distinguish between a statute and the law, are you ignorant of the law?**
- 21. Do you love my child? .**
- 22. Are you fully dedicated to my child?**
- 23. Are you willing to sacrifice all your assets and property for my child?**
- 24. Do you claim authority over my offspring greater than mine? What is the source of that authority?**
- 25. Am I obliged to answer any of your questions?**
- 26. Has the Ministry ever used coercion and threats against an infant to generate agreements?**
- 27. If the answer to the above is yes, is that a good reason to refuse intercourse with the ministry?**
- 28. Is the Ministry attempting to provide services to my child?**
- 29. Does the term 'services' imply the existence of a contract?**
- 30. In order to be lawful, must contracts be voluntary?**
- 31. Is creating an agreement by threatening to remove my child an act of extortion? Is it prudent to contract or agree with known extortionists?**
- 32. Is the Ministry a known extortionist?**
- 33. Does the CFCSA authorize you to commit extortion?**
- 34. Are you in contract with my offspring?**
- 35. Are you aware that any agreements created past this point are a direct result of coercion and entered into under duress?**

Did you know?

- If you register your infant, you are not just telling the government your offspring's legal name, you are actually creating a legal entity for them?

-Did you know documents that refer to 'a person in the Province of British Columbia' are referring to a 'legal entity' within a larger 'legal entity'?

-There is a big difference between a Natural Person and a legal person?

-When the Ministry of Children and Families removes a child, they are removing the legal person and not the Natural Person?

-Did you know you could disassociate one from the other?

-Did you know existing legally in the legal entity called the 'Province of British Columbia' is a choice?

-Did you know you could exist lawfully in the geographical area commonly referred to as the Province of British Columbia and never exist legally in the Legal entity of the same name?

-That if your child doesn't exist in the legal entity, no agent for that legal entity could remove your child?

-That legal agents could only interact with other legal entities?

- That the Child and Family Services Act defines a 'child' as a legal entity?

-Did you know no human being is ever obligated to submit, plead or beg?

-Did you know the Government has power over you because you have signed documents with the words application and submission on it?

-Did you know they would have very little power over you if it weren't for your signature on those documents?

-Did you know that God has given you more natural rights than the Government will civil rights?

-Did you know that if you do not control your government, it will control you?