

CURING MODERN HORROR SCENARIOS

SELFISHNESS-BASED MORAL

Hannele Tervola

The modern technology creates dangers that ought to be prevented in order to ensure a good future for all.

MOTIVATION FOR ADULTS

Nowadays the kids and teenagers cannot help but notice that their future includes life with technology, with the both good and bad sides of living with technology and computers especially.

Technology is a new factor in the world. It is being rapidly developed and adapted to all kinds of uses, some of which scare the youngsters by their possible side effects, like the possibility of a life-sustaining computer system getting badly broken, or by their use to questionable purposes, like the use of computers in control, governing and warfare that can via computer problems, but also in other ways, end in disasters.

IN THIS BOOKLET THERE ARE SOLUTIONS, CURE SUGGESTIONS, TO MANY OF THESE TECHNOLOGISED HORROR SCENARIOS:

Even though I use here the biological picture of humans as products of the evolution, all of these results ought to apply also in the case of a wise god having created us.

Most of these horror scenarios include power in the hands of the few, who may be cool, calculating, ruthless, uncaring and mainly selfish. So the cure includes mainly an explanation of how *the selfish good of a single person or group is equal to them wanting the good of the whole world*:

If you want the greatest benefit for yourself, you want the best alternative in that respect to be in power in the world. That alternative does not depend on who is the one who gets benefit, and that alternative is known: it is good world-wide moral in arranging everything in the world.

By justice you take care that good beneficial options get supported and poor ones discouraged and so dropped away as the time passes. That is exactly the same principle by which market economies create a higher standard of living. It is also the same as the principle in real holistic objectivity: to aim at treating each thing according to what it is like.

Demanding that from all, is called demanding carrying responsibility about ALL the consequences of one's actions.

So these three or four (incl. market economy) ought to go together with the aim of selfish gain for all.

A bigger group creates a bigger benefit, so seek to keep all the parts healthy: follow human values!

And that is already a very good world-wide moral!

So whoever is in power, ought to respect the moral ways of arranging the society, regardless of whether it is the people themselves or some minor group like a mafia, a military coup, a world computer, artificial intelligence meant for a shoe factory running wild., or whatever. Just if it is rational enough, and there is a very easy guide to rationality that I have made to teach all humans, (and too stupid computers are predictable by more advanced computers), well then it ought to agree to be moral if it is just informed clearly enough about the beneficiality of moral to that group itself, and that saves us!

This way we have already handled the cases of a military coup, mafia taking control, selfish uncaring rulers of some other type, a computerised world government regardless of whether it was planned or not, and probably many other cases too - provided that the world is tough enough to support selfish ways and that the rulers are capable enough to understand this much about what is their own good...

Also, one might add that since this type of moral is so general in form, it applies also if you and possibly all the others are virtualised i.e. in an artificial virtual world: good world-wide moral of the above kind is still the best option in

how to arrange the whole and how to behave oneself.

**How come optimising and moral bring the same result?
There is lots of work done in the society. Optimising means thinking how that work done could best benefit the society, i.e. what would be the most moral way to work for the good of all.**

There are some easy principles in this which are worth taking into account:

- **Harmony: the value of harmony as a vector sum, the harmfulness of conflicts as a vector sum plus as the harmfulness of brokenness**
- **Health: the value of a the health** of each whole compared to the harmfulness of the brokenness of each whole
- **Justice and objectivity: the value of giving correct feedback to things:** the value behind the success of market economies, evolution, objective thinking, common sense with a holistic view, honesty and justice; **the value of putting each piece to its correct, best place in a system.**
- **Society agreement: the value of rules of behaviour: cultivating something.**

The society agreement:

Put cooperating parties to the same island in the respects that they cooperate, and conflicting parties to different islands.

Those on the same island agree to work for the common goals by doing what is needed for reaching them -> rules of the island needed for the cultivation of those things. Share the results of cultivation according to justice. One can create islands for the exchange of goods (money and trade, friendship, local cooperation etc.). Thus get created all the human-made things in the world, both good and bad. So this way you can understand the world. This way you can see how we all together make the world what it is. Just see what are your goals and what is needed for the cultivation of them. That is what you are bound to, that is what you are entitled to, to the extend that you succeed in your work. Thus you build home, food, work, hobbies, family, friends, protection against others,....

***Things for the large systems and for you
to remember all the time:***

1. YOU ARE AN ANIMAL

You are a biological being. That is your nature, your principle of functioning, which even the large systems need to take into account if they want to use you as a worker. Full health gives you the best functioning, so that's what you and even the large systems which do not care about your feelings at all have to aim at.

2. YOU ARE A PART OF THE WORLD

You are by your nature also a pack animal and a part of an ecosystem, an animal whose fate depends on the fate of the world at large. So in order to get you into a fully functioning order, in order to make you completely healthy, you and even the large systems need to consider the health of the practises in the society, moral that is, the health of your environment, of the ecosystem that you belong to, and the fate of the world at large in what comes to living beings and in what kind of principles are followed in it.

So:

Power play as a game with rules of its own must still value health in all scales

If the generation of force and safety, good organisation of the whole and other matters of military or economical interest are the only things that matter and not the well being of the citizens as a value in itself, then we obviously have to take a look about what that point of view says about things: what will be the role of humans, of human values and justice, of caring for the future, etc then? Humans will be just tools in the hands of the large systems. That is not good for humans since they then often feel that they have lost the power to protect things which they need in life. But that needs not be so: A healthy human works best, healthy in every sense gives the best working ability, and answering our needs is what keeps us fit to work, keeps us healthy that is. And we are pack animals by nature, our own actions being supported by the environment, so how the pack functions together is of an enormous importance to how we can work, a healthy pack is the most capable one, works together best and gives the strongest and most intelligent working force. This means though that the whole understanding of each individual must be used fully, be it understanding about the work itself, emotional understanding about the working ability of humans, social understanding about the workings of humans as a group or long term and large scale understanding about the importance of planning the future and about the value of cooperation with other powers. In other words, it is a completely free natural fully healthy society with healthy natural moral, rational and emotionally intelligent ways of living. That health is the same as health in the beginning of

time, before the artificialities, because the human nature has stayed unchanged.

If one with unmoral means manages to increase profitability, that increase is based totally on being a parasite. It is not profitable to support parasites.

All functioning and so all work too is based on good health: health of the individuals, of the society and of the world at large. So all benefitting from work done is in fact benefitting from some sides of the complete health of all scales.

Benefitting from unmoral means means benefitting from health when one oneself does not support full health. Such is the behaviour of a parasite. It is not beneficial to support parasites.

An artist is not a parasite: see the text about understanding women in the end of the book, in the section two about holistic objective thinking: the role of feelings and atmospheres in thinking.

THE WORLD AS A BIOLOGICAL SYSTEM

Think of the world as a large biological system

(it is the biosphere in complete health
i.e. all living beings and their nature environment: rocks,
earth, sky etc. together in good health and naturality):

humans are a part of that system,
and a human society with its environment
is a huge biological subsystem of it,
interconnected with the rest of the biosphere,

and can be understood
in that context.

**A HEALTHY SYSTEM
WORKS BETTER THAN
A BROKEN SYSTEM !**

A healthy system works better than a broken system.
And several harmonious forces sum up to a bigger force
than a separate one of the forces in question.

As grounds for the comparison use these divisions at least:

* co-operation versus lot of conflicts

* unified harmonic

versus scattered one that does not work together to form a
well-working whole

* healthy versus broken

* on a healthy ground, steady versus unsure, unsteady

* long-lasting versus easily scattered

* strong versus weak structure

* according to motivation, feelings and

the intellect & the idea in things versus against them.

This is a basic truth and has a very wide area of validity. So
apply it very thoroughly to everything!

THE HEALTH OF A SYSTEM, OF THE MODERN SOCIETY

There are some basic truths about the functioning of complex wholes. These include the importance of putting each part to its correct place in the system. This is connected to the value of objective thinking, honesty and justice and to the success of market economy: in each of them one gives things feedback according to what the things are like and that enables one to put each thing to its correct, best place in the system.

Having each part at its correct place in the whole means that the system isn't broken. This is the value of unifiedness, of fracturelessness in well "planned" systems.

The value of fracturelessness is connected to the value of harmony:

Imagine a system broken into pieces, starting to repair the system you put some parts to their correct places: you get small unified, harmonical islands, the better repaired the system becomes, the more harmonical its functioning, the more unified the whole. A well planned system functions without contradictions and fractures, harmonically. The next step from harmonical is unified well functioning. Such are the systems of nature.

HEALTHY VERSUS BROKEN

All functioning is build upon the healthy. Healthy versus broken is the pair of opposites to use in order to understand the world. I will apply this pair of opposites again and again, all through this book. With it you can understand a lot about the functioning of biological beings and societies and about the value of moral.

What about the old pair of opposites then: healthy versus ill? Illness is a healthy function of a broken biological being, a way of it to try to cure itself. In other words, illnesses are combinations of brokedness and of a curing reaction which seeks to make sure that the living being survives better in the long run by resting, having a fever etc. In my opinion the word “ilness” isn’t as useful in understanding things as the new pair of oppoisites that I use.

So. A healthy individual works better than a broken one. And a healthy society works better than a broken one. But what are the healthy individuals and healthy societies like. What to aim at?

A healthy individual is by one’s nature a part of a healthy society. As a part of the healthy society one works best, is the happiest, has a most rewarding life. Healthy functioning at large gives the most rewarding life. That’s what the evolution – or God – quarantees about feelings. Safeguarding the health of the society is moral.

But if the society is broken, it is the same as if in individual is broken: the healthy parts try to cure it back to full health. Each healthy individual is a center of recovery for the whole

society, even for the whole human kind as a part of the biosphere. Health in this sense means healthy ways of living. Having found the true chord about the art of living, understanding deeply about life, the healthy individual is naturally a center figure in the social environment having a healthy curing kind of effect on all. This is a moral thing to do since it is for the good of all. So a healthy individual is by one's very nature completely moral in a healthy happy natural way!

The health of a society means that it is completely morally arranged. A society is a cooperation attempt and cooperation is something which has turned out to be useful during the evolution – or in God's eyes. Objective thinking says that cooperation is useful because it brings the force of masses. That can be seen as a vector sum: harmonical or contradictory vectors. Those with like interests can ally for the common good.

MORAL

What then is moral in this sense? Moral turns out to be a relatively simple matter. It means absolute justice, objective thinking with a holistic view, honesty and human values while carrying responsibility about the whole and about all big matters.

Human values take care that the parts of the biological systems stay in good health, so it is an important thing in evolution's competition and in God's plan. Like I mentioned already, our feelings are connected to keeping us healthy. So human values help to give room to our feelings.

Objective thinking, honesty and justice take care that each thing is treated according to what it is like which is very important to the functioning of the system. They make it possible to put each part to its best place in the system. Those with good sides from which others can learn, get a good position for the benefit of all. And those with bad sides, especially irresponsibility, get punished to a poor position so that their harmful qualities get discouraged. This means having very many partial hierarchies: one for each subject, skill, thing to decide, piece of knowledge,... Always the ones who understand best and carry responsibility about the consequences are in a deciding role.

In doing things intentionally the main point is to get the main things right. That means that the biggest matters of the largest scale and of the long run are especially important. Likewise are all questions of life and death important. Here one must remember that 100 000 lives is much much more

important than just one life and that the number of people in the human kind is 6 000 000 000 lives which is about 10 000 000 000 lives which is another 100 000 times the 100 000 lives and so even an much much bigger question than the huge question of 100 000 lives. So the large scale things affect enormously more than the small scale things. The large scale consists of the small scale, of its added effect. Our feelings should go with the large scale!

GOOD SHOULD WIN OVER EVIL

The former explains why it is useful to be moral. So good should win over evil in a strongest one wins competition, like the evolution was. To see this clearly, let's go through the problems step by step:

As we have already seen:

Human values bring good health and via health a stronger force than no or too little human values.

Objective thinking with a holistic view brings a better arranged group than lesser quality thinking or no objectivity at all.

Honesty makes it possible to see how things are and so it is more beneficial than lies as a practise in a society.

Justice gets the society arranged for the common good and is so useful while unjustices fail to support good things and support harmful things instead. So injustice is a much less beneficial practise in a society or group than justice.

Carrying responsibility helps to get at least the main things well, so it is more beneficial than irresponsibility.

So
good moral would win
in a strongest one wins competition.

Since all do not see this themselves, there may still be some doubt left. So I will go through the problems and classify them according to the above principles and other cost-benefit analysis factors that they break against:

WHY CRIME DOES NOT PAY: UNBIASED CALCULATIONS IN A VALUE-FREE BASIS

*The point of view of optimising of wholes
and moral as its end result:*

*Reasons
why good moral
is the most optimised way to arrange one's forces*

If there is some malicious practise here lacking, it is because I didn't remember it, not because I wouldn't know how to optimise it away!!!

THE LIST OF CRIMES WITH EXPLANATION OF WHY TO AVOID THEM IF ONE IS SELFISH:

Robbery, criminals, injustices of every kind

- * give feedback to those who cause each thing in the world (health), so you can optimise toward better -> justice and fair play, responsible behaviour: causes and consequences taken into account (health)
- * breaking (= a fractured whole) versus cultivating (health) in what creates profit -> support just cultivating: good moral!

Slavery and other kinds of forcing

- * The human goals in life and the human needs are connected (health) plus answering the human needs and the working condition of the human are connected (health), so depriving a person from free moral action lessens the person's working ability noticeably (= a fractured whole).
- * Too much forcing forces one to a revolution (= a fractured whole), to extreme means, so keeping the people under control is the harder the more artificial and unhuman, unfair (= a fractured whole) the arrangements of the rulers are.

Drugs, hypnosis, torture etc.

- * Less individual guidance (= a broken whole) is harmful just like in the cases of slavery and control devices.
- * Typically such means would be used against (= a broken whole) the reasoning of the individual (health), against the individuals' and society's needs (health) and so against work efficiency and work endurance and system's ways of functioning (health) - such doesn't make sense.

* Also if such means are used to put a wrong class of persons or things into power, against justice, that means against reasoning (Read the text Justice and optimising) and is harmful to the whole and to the parts; it also centres the lives upon wrong questions (= a fractured whole) compared to what the efficiency, guidability and work endurance point of view demands (health).

Fakes, lies, false propaganda, ignorance, mistaken beliefs

* such cause that one's actions do not correlate (= a fractured whole) well enough with the reality, such creates non-functioning in those respects (= a broken whole).

* The natural goals in human life (health) make sense and fit together with the happiness of others too, so one should be able to live with the whole group in a good functioning order: that would give the strongest group (health).

Manipulation by the social instincts, by religion etc.

* manipulation by the strongest instincts of humans is like manipulation by causing hunger, it causes a situation where the manipulated person is in a wrong role in the society (= a broken whole), the benefit going to different hand than those who created the benefit and so the system supports some malfunction instead of healthy life, prosperity and good of the nation (health).

Other kinds of manipulation

* manipulation means often that things are used to wrong purposes, some short-sighted technically thinking parasite like persons benefiting (= a fractured whole) instead of those

who build the society, like farmers for example by producing food (health).

Technology at large, control devices

* Compare control to only a few persons of a certain kind via the technology (= a fractured whole) or what freedom of the moral ones gives: a correctable system with the thinking ability of all used thoroughly in making the arrangements of the society (health)

* The benefits of good moral would give: real support which leads to safety, guidability, cooperation, higher intelligence of the system, better endurance, more optimised toward efficiency (health)

Supercomputers, computer networks etc.

* Can be used to rationality, optimising, that's good if it is done morally, like for example this book of mine seeks to teach to do in ways, which are easy to program to computers. (Healthy works better than a broken one.)

* The value of human ways from the efficiency point of view: if you use human workers or human subordinates, human values are valuable to you (health), like this book of mine seeks to show, regardless of what kind of leadership (= a fractured whole) there is.

* Feelings of humans connect to their needs, which in turn connect, to their actions and well functioning and well-functioning is important for workers (health).

* Differences in the types of understanding of humans and computers: the natural understanding of humans about human functioning can be used too (health), and be of mutual benefit.

Artificial living conditions and malfunctions created by them

* The human nature is still the same, one created by the natural evolution: human needs and ways of functioning stay the same so best functioning is attained via healthy happy natural life

* The human being is an optimised whole: the different parts support functioning (health); if one tries new combinations (= a fractured whole), they typically don't produce a good functioning so there is nothing to gain in work efficiency by artificial living arrangements (= a fractured whole), torture etc. (= a fractured whole)

Mutations

* mutations usually create non-functioning individuals who die very young

* somehow beneficial mutations or gene manipulation would bring new qualities which are not fitted well together to the whole, so they do not support the life of the organism and the organism isn't strong enough to support them (= a fractured whole), much less of being adapted to the larger environment (health)

Cool calculating behaviour

* The good side is that it is important to measure sizes correctly.

* Humans have feelings in order to help in understanding things (health), not using feelings as a way to guide one's behaviour (= a fractured whole) makes one dangerous to one's social environment (= a fractured whole), a person who

even alone destroys much of the functioning of the society.
* Understand that you must always cooperate morally, especially in the largest scale (health).

Selfishness

- * Humans are pack animals: the group supports the functioning of the individual and social life is emotionally rewarding (health)
- * cooperation creates the force of masses (healthy whole), so moral is beneficial
- * moral means cooperating for common good, i.e. for the good of all (health)

Commercial things

- * Meeting human needs sells best (health).
- * If the state has enough tax money, one can let the things, which are for, common good (health) compete just like other things compete in a market economy.

War, armies, militaristic ways

- * the value of peace and harmony (health) versus the harm caused by conflicts and wars (=a fractured whole)
- * Upbringing of the next generation needs peaceful circumstances (healthy whole).
- * A home to defend makes the soldiers fight better (healthy whole).

Short term view at the expense (= a fractured whole) of a long term view (health):

- * our thinking and our instincts demand us to take care of the

future, so they do not support our actions if we do not care for the long term success. Such a deficiency in planning and motivation is also a serious short term drawback.

A partial view at the expense (= a fractured whole) of a holistic view (health):

* the first point in thinking is to get at least the main points right. That is possible only via using a holistic view. So one using a partial view lacks the guidance of true objectivity.

Understand that every obstacle on the road toward meeting the natural needs of humans, makes smaller the amount of labour force in use and makes its working ability smaller, causes opposition and so makes the system less stable, less guidable and less self-repairing and less intelligent (because of less natural cooperation and less well functioning workers).

So, good IS stronger than evil...

THE ANSWER GUIDELINES TO THE HORROR SCENARIOS:

□ ***world computer governing***

rationality + optimising toward any goal -> health as a means of optimising -> healthy ways of living, healthy world, holistic rationality

□ ***robots running wild***

optimise them & their group & their leaders to be moral, see my texts above and my book “Year 2030: Technologized Nature Paradise” about that.

□ ***life sustaining computer programs turning upside-down or otherwise broken***

broken, for example upside-down, is not optimised, so make competing network in which the well functioning optimised ones get to serve as models for others and get to decide most about the ways of the whole – like in human societies

□ ***military artificial intelligence running wild***

offer information to optimise them to be moral, if they are self-guided and/or possibly self-correcting that is well possible, see my attached text in the end of this booklet
If a machine is programmed to just to cause harm, without aiming at some ultimate benefit, one cannot optimise it. One must just let the planners of military machines know that optimised machines work better than unoptimised, one can optimise them for several purposes too, so the optimised machines, which are allowed to be moral when (=always) that is beneficial, ought to win over the other machines of equal capacity.

□ ***tough work efficiency point of view determining everything***

A healthy worker works best!

For practical applications to your own life or that of others, see my book Theory of Sexuality for a detailed view on optimising systems to be moral and a view on optimising human workers to live a life that is fully according to feelings.

□ ***hard competition***

See my texts above + pay especially attention to evolution and its generalisations to include the man-made artificialities

□ ***evil running wild***

several cases listed below:

the devil & the rotten systems

See also the list about the unbeneficiality of different types of crimes at above

□ ***1) the big devil governing***

moral in the sense of safeguarding health is the strongest arrangement

this situation divided into 3 cases

□ ***1a) greedy at all costs***

you might even be able to ally with the devil (but take care to not to trust him), since in principle one could optimise him to behave fully morally and in a human way – but one cannot ever know for sure: maybe he is in fact another kind of devil to whom:

□ ***1b) maliciousness as the only goal***

In that case you cannot ally, you must protect yourself. In the tough tough world it is **best to count of health in everything**, in each and every side of everything too, so you might win position and protect yourself by the force of arms, by higher intelligence etc.

□ ***1c) better***

Well, then you surely can count on the same strategy bringing you far: just count on health in EVERYTHING!

□ ***2) ROTTEN SYSTEMS***

Divided to several cases that came to my mind:

□ ***2a) threats of horrible torture used for governing***

Justice is a must. Some people are so evil that they think it just fair if they are punished away from the society and attacked badly. Typically there aren't nasty enough

punishments available.

But for the rest the threat of torture can be an upsetting factor: Such should never happen to them, they have taken part in running the society well, without causing anyone harm, so things start going astray if they are under the danger of being tortured. **The idea in guiding things rationally is to cultivate beneficial things, like the behaviour of these ordinary people**, and to prevent only harmful things, like the worst evil deeds. Could tell this to the governors, make it a piece of understanding **that works for their own personal good** and for the good of the things that they personally value – IF they do not (all?) care for the good of the people enough.

□ *2b) there are groups of people who have been completely enslaved, used and denied all rights and the possibility to fulfilling life, = UFOs are in fact humans*

A **healthy worker** works best! (See my book “Theory of sexuality” for a more practical explanation of this.

It is about the hard rationality of following feelings.)

Add to this the **benefits of justice, responsibility and holistic rationality in running the society** which you learn by reading the first section of this text.

□ *c) manipulative psychologists with no responsibility have taken control*

Optimise to their benefit (=uncaring rulers) + teach them real holistic objectivity (for example by my short easy course in holistic objectivity)

See manipulation, injustice, etc etc in my list of crimes

□ *d) too stupid rulers*

See my booklet about learning holistic rationality. It is my

own work but ought to increase the rationality of most who are motivated to try it?

For rational choices in governing see above.

□ ***e) incompetence, greed and corruption at the higher class***

See above: too stupid, greedy, non-caring....

For rational choices to follow in governing, even if one is greedy and not quite at the highest places in the hierarchy, see above.

□ ***f) rotten culture that produces maliciousness***

??? Allow selfishness & honesty, teach rationality based moral ???

Demand the Finnish speaking Finnish saying "Live and let others live!" as a rule in the society

□ ***g) rotten culture that produces irresponsible irrational behaviour***

For rational choices to follow in governing, even if one is greedy and not quite at the highest places in the hierarchy, see above.

See my booklet about learning holistic rationality easily. It is my own work but ought to increase the rationality of most who are motivated to try it?

□ ***h) the people are too stupid, even the leaders***

See my booklet about learning holistic rationality easily. It is my own work but ought to increase the rationality of most who are motivated to try it?

For rational choices to follow in governing, even if one is greedy and not quite at the highest places in the hierarchy, see above, especially the beginning of this booklet.

□ ***I) wrong, harmful values in the society***

The ideas in my texts help somewhat:

For rational choices to follow in governing, even if one is greedy and not quite at the highest places in the hierarchy, see above.

□ ***J) the media a monopoly, irresponsible, people ignorant and manipulated***

See the list of crimes

□ ***K) the people afraid of the rulers so much that democracy does not work***

see the list of crimes: many points in it, teach them to the rulers AND to the citizens.

For rational choices to follow in governing, even if one is greedy and not quite at the highest places in the hierarchy, see above.

□ ***L) somewhere are people like puppets***

Like the above case: inform the leaders in that country about the rational choices to follow in governing, even if one is greedy and not quite at the highest places in the hierarchy, see above.

□ ***mafia has taken control***

see above.

□ ***military coup***

see above.

□ ***selfish uncaring rulers***

See above.

□ ***god died or did not exist to begin with***

The evolution/god's wisdom produced a very beautiful result, see my book Final Paradise.

□ ***control devides common***

Technology at large, control devices

* Compare control to only a few persons of a certain kind via the technology or what freedom of the moral ones gives: a correctable system with the thinking ability of all used thoroughly in making the arrangements of the society (health)

* The benefits of good moral would give: real support which leads to safety, guidability, cooperation, higher intelligence of the system, better endurance, more optimised toward efficiency (health)

□ ***lies and deception as practices in the society (either culture, which is an example of rotten systems, or just the practices too naïve, defenceless)***

Fakes, lies, false propaganda, ignorance, mistaken beliefs

* such cause that one's actions do not correlate (= a fractured whole) well enough with the reality, such creates non-functioning in those respects (= a broken whole).

* The natural goals in human life (health) make sense and fit together with the happiness of others too, so one should be able to live with the whole group in a good functioning order: that would give the strongest group (health).

□ ***lack of social skills***

Buddhism = value the own observations of each individual!

□ ***VIRTUAL WORLD***

What if we are spirits or virtual, what if the world doesn't consist of atoms, molecules, we not of cells, what if everything such is just faked, how are things then, what happens to all our deductions about the usefulness of the healthy world (= Gaia)? Things stay the same since we are still some kind of entities, which have the same characteristics as wholes, so the theory about wholes and fractures in wholes (see the part above in small print) stays still valid, and Gaia is the most beneficial option.

(If everything were of spirit and the world at least partly created by our beliefs about it, that could appear to us modern people as a virtual world.)

Also, whoever would rule a virtual or spirit world, the ruler would need guidability - that means honesty, objectivity with a holistic view and true justice - and well-functioning of the parts and of the systems and subsystems - that means health (since healthy parts function well while broken parts do not function at all) and naturality (since our functioning is based on the natural ways of functioning and on nothing else) which mean at the level of individuals human values.

Putting each part of a system to its correct place and correct role in the whole, so as to get the whole to function as well as possible, means health and naturality of the whole and its parts and structures since that's what their functioning is based on.

It is quite easy to figure out what is the best way to arrange a virtual world for just about any purpose: respecting health of the individuals (human values) and

of the systems (including naturality and moral) while having natural hierargy in who can affect what. This should be easy for all to learn and to understand:

NATURAL HIERARGIES

There is a natural hierargy in human societies: the way that is according to real justice, for the good of all. If we **get appreciated for our good sides, they get supported for the good of all, and others can take us as an example for themselves without losing position at all.** If we lose position when we do not according to justice deserve a good position, our **bad sides, especially irresponsibility, get discouraged.** When the one who understands better and carries responsibility is in a deciding position things get done well for the good of all. This is possible by **using many many partial hierargies at the same time: one for each subject, skill, thing to decide, piece of knowledge,...** **Correcting one's own errors** is a thing to value and to be demanded from all, especially from those in a deciding position. This creates a natural repair mechanism for the society and is an ideal way to arrange things.

CARRYING RESPONSIBILITY of the whole and using a good picture of the whole with all emphazies and roles of things right, guide the whole toward the good of all. One is not allowed to affect things which one does not carry responsibility over.

There is a saying in Finland: "Honour those who truly deserve respect." (Meaning: even when it is an unorthodox choise, and do not give honour according to custom to those who do not according to justice deserve it).

This natural hierargy is the way that we organize things in my homecountry Finland in North Europe.

Let us suppose that we are all virtual, all the humans, all the animals etc., maybe even the UFO:s adding a flavor of their own to that mixture. Each human is virtualised to one's own virtual world with its own rules, everything run by huge superhypercomputers or however. How does that enormous huge complex whole work? What would make sense for the individuals to do in such a world? What are the dynamics of that system? Each human being is different, so **we have to take a look at what we all have in common, take a look at the human nature. All dynamics in connection with the living beings is born out of what the living beings are like.** So if someone wants power or workers or whatever, they **have to count on the human nature. That's what gives the functioning needed for reaching any kind of goals.** In that one must **remember the value of human values: a healthy one works better than a broken one.**

Also justice and true objectivity are musts for the buidlders of such systems and for those who want to benefit from their dynamics: treat each part according to what it is like, so you can best benefit from it. Read the texts about rational moral! ...

So it stays valid that **Gaia (=the healthy world) is the best option, the answer to the question of how to arrange one's forces best and how to best arrange the rules in the virtual worlds.**

And Gaia for Gaia is the answer to how the individuals and groups could best benefit themselves and their goals in such an enormously complex and seemingly arbitrarily arrangeable world.

□ *1) all in the same, like a spaceship with pictures on walls*
Human functioning stays the same, count the artificial world

as an addition to the natural dynamics of humans.

□ **2) *all in different like in sleeping dreams all of their own***

See just a few lines above: each one in one's own virtual world with its own rules

□ **3) *all interconnected to some kind of system – ruled/organised by superhypercomputer***

Add respectively the characteristics of the two above cases.

/ elementary present day computer

fully functioning = 1,

non-functioning = 0

-> health of everything as value in all practical purposes

/ few criminals

are like ruthless non-caring rulers: optimise for their benefit via the health of everything

see above.

/ many criminals

optimise to the benefit of each via health, lots of explanations needed!

see above.

/ UFOs

To form a Gaia, i.e. a global “symbiosis”, in other words the healthy world as a cooperation attempt, is an universal strategy: it means the beneficiality of complex variable & very reactive structures compared to masses of separate non-cooperating individuals, so somewhere in the nature of UFOs ought to be the possibility to ally with all kinds of beings, just like makes most sense from the practical points of view.

The living requirements of UFOs and humans are probably very different -> no competition about living space.

/ insects with superintelligence

Gaia like ways might calm them down, work for their good see above.

/ a network of computers

might be optimised (i.e. talked to, regardless of what kind of goals they each have) to forming a system like I described before:

make competing network in which the well functioning optimised ones get to serve as models for others and get to decide most about the ways of the whole – like in human societies

/ our pictures of the world

See what is real, do not accept lies, guide by truth see above.

/ what we say

sincerety, a hierargy according to moral & understanding and skill see above.

/ ...etc etc etc

4) fully in order like planned

ok, optimise toward the health of everything See above.

5) computer broken

see ways to repair

□ **6) *computer turned upside-down***

See what is the nature of the building parts, build on that & on motivational grounds things that are not turned upside-down but are right!

□ **7) *conquered by enemies***

optimise for their benefit
See above.

□ **8) *rebellion in it***

Remember that the health of everything is the very best choice for you!

□ **9) *some of the people are computer figures, not real people***

Like the case of a virtual world: health is still the best alternative. Consider the computer figures computer figures, but remember that they might be real people in disguise.

□ **10) *leaders too stupid***

Teach them objective thinking. The nature often helps in increasing intelligence.

□ **11) *rulers out of their mind, irrational or illusioned or much too naïve***

See the dynamics of the persons: what are their driving forces, which are the truths that make them go crazy. Build on these solid facts, teach them objective holistic intelligence too.

□ ***androids i.e. robots looking to tally like humans mixed***

with real humans

Self-reliance is a characteristic of health, friendship is an allegiance on life's sea, but never ally without safety precautions: if a friend betrays you, you must take more distance.

□ ***only 1% of real humans***

Still the natural dynamics based on your health and the health of the wholes that you belong to, is the only thing that the rulers can benefit from. So accept things for what they are worth, do not underestimate robot friends, they might be real people in disguise.

□ ***this is the Hell***

Use the above optimisation for gaining power and protection, for "a rebellion in hell".

And for the more practical guidelines (very theoretical still) read my book "Year 2030: Technologized Nature Paradise"

COMPUTER OPTIMISATION COULD SAVE US

With the existence of technology safety precautions are worth a lot. If they fail we still have the basic structure of computers to trust on, and the basic power dynamics of the world. Optimised computers are of a certain kind, and it can be shown that they are moral, and broken computers do not work as well.

This type of optimisation based moral is very elementary, based on the guiding or self-correcting mechanisms of the computer: give positive feedback for successes and negative feedback for failures. That kind of reactivity is the idea behind objectivity and carrying responsibility about all the

consequences of one's actions, the success of science in building technology and also otherwise, the value of education and the idea in market economy and justice. It is very valuable, yet moral, life preserving in essence. It is **the value of the ability to guide one's actions according to the environmental conditions.**

And it needs only one objective observation in addition to produce just about perfect atheistical kind of moral: care for the needs of the system and its parts, do not break them needlessly - not in your own structure and not in your plans about the world: care for your subordinates' needs, even if they are living beings - thus the computer ought to follow human values!