# SOCRATES AND KNOWLEDGE

# . How does the wise man discover true knowledge?

Stage 1. Strip away prejudices/prejudgement.

Stage 2. Reduce interlocutor to state of confusion/doubt > realisation that he does not know what he thought he knew.

Stage 3. Build up premise again by means of:
question and answer/discussions/argument
definitions
examples
until a final definition of the "essence" of moral quality
under discussion is reached (or not, as the case may be)

Example 1. Cross-examination of Meletus in the Apology.

This is not the "full treatment" - rather the destruction of Meletus:

Socrates traps Meletus:

(a) into making universal assumptions (p.46)

(b) into declaring him an atheist (not part of the charge) (p.49)

(c) into equating "supernatural beings" with gods
(without drawing any distinction > universalising again?)
All this interspersed with jibes and rhetoric>
obscuring the weakness of the arguments.

Example 2. The Euthyphro
A complete example of the process.
Starting point: prosecution of father.
Question: is this an unholy/impious action?
The issue: what is holiness/piety?

### Stage 1.

Socrates seeks definition: What is the "essential" of piety, which makes an action pious? First response: by present example of prosecuting father Socrates' reaction; unacceptable- example not universal. Second response: by universal application "what is agreeable to gods is holy/pious" Socrates' reactions: demand for proof> For since gods dispute among selves, not ALL gods will find same things agreeable> hence definition fails as a universal definition Third response: a new universal definition: "what all gods approve of is holy/pious" Socrates' reaction: initiates debate on difference between state and performance of any god-approved action. At end of this no nearer finding the "essential"

# Stage 2 Euthyphro admits his confusion.

# Stage 3. Socrates now leads with his definition: introduces the idea of holiness as aspect of justice via analogy of shame and fear: "what is holy is also just; what is just is not necessarily holy" ie. holiness is sub-division/off-shoot/by-product of justice.

Euthyphro now makes a fresh start, based on this premise.

First move: care of gods = piety/holiness; care of men = justice.

Socrates picks up care:

by analogy (via animals examples)

care > benefit and improvement

hence piety = benefit and improvement of gods - impossible premise

Second move: piety = doing service to gods

Socrates picks up service;

By analogy (via specialist service industries examples)

service > practical achievements

Hence piety = helping gods to acheive - impossible premise

Third move: piety = gratifying the gods (by prayer and sacrifice)

Socrates picks up means of gratification

by demonstrating the bargaining/trading basis behind it

Hence this can not be gratifying since gods offer us good things, not vice versa.

Fourth move: piety = gratifying gods(via offering honour & tokens of esteem)

Socrates sums up: piety = gratifying the gods,

not benefitting them, or winning their approval

<u>Fifth move</u>; gratifying the gods = the thing most approved by them Socrates links moves 4 &5; the holy is what is approved by the gods

This takes us back to the third original response and attempted definition:

"what all gods approve of is holy"

So we are still no further forward:

(a) we have eliminated some false definitions

(b) the true definition of the "essence" still eludes us.

# N.B. Some flaws in the argument?

- 1. Isolated instances shouldnot be generalised.
- 2. Use of analogy can lead to false inferences:

ie. the assumption that an analogy has general application

- 3. Confusion of action and state, concrete actions and abstract qualities
- 4. Equation of gods with men as a conception.

# Final question:

Is the "essence " of any moral quality

- (a) an abstraction like the quality itself
- (b) a hypothetical assumption of philosophy
- (c) something can be logically calculated and known

(You don't have to answer the question; but

think about it - it will help determine your view of Socrates

and his attempts to find the absolute truth)