Jurgel leaves no doubt about the fact that the Fate is nothing that than the Willy the righest cods.

## JUPITER AND THE FATES IN THE AENEID

#### A. INTRODUCTION

'Vergil lässt keinen Zweifel darüber, dass in Wahrheit das Fatum nichts anderes ist als des höchsten Gottes Wille.' Thus Heinze, apparently following an observation by Seruius auctus, and in turn generally followed by scholars who have subsequently considered the hature of the fata in the Aeneid.2 But questions concerning the interpretation of the Aeneid are rarely simple; and the question of Jupiter's relationship to the fata may repay further enquiry.

Passages in the poem which reveal the will of Jupiter are not frequent. The grand design which Jupiter unfolds to Venus at the outset is a matter, not of his uoluntas, but of his sententia-the word is so used by Venus no less than himself (1.327,260). Jupiter does appear to abet the final destruction of Troy; he does send Mercury to Aeneas at Carthage, and the ghost of Anchises to him in Sicily; and at the end of the poem he does say to Juno, 'ulterius temptare ueto', and in consequence of this exchange with Juno he dispatches the Dira to confound Juturna and Turnus.3 But when Jupiter appears to Aeneas at Troy, he is merely hastening what is quite inevitable anyway-that Aeneas should realize this is exactly why Venus points out Jupiter to him. The sending of Mercury and of the ghost of Anchises might, as is so frequently the case when mortal is visited by immortal in the Aeneid, be demythologized; in each case Aeneas might anyhow have been psychologically ready for the actions that Jupiter's emissaries enjoin upon him. The final god-scene of the poem I consider below. These four passages hardly amount to an impressive or comprehensive demonstration of what the will of Jupiter is; and yet they are almost all that we have to go on.4

One notes also in the Aeneid a number of surely crucial arrangements that are not explicitly connected with Jupiter at all. He does not appear in the poem's introduction; and so it is not to him that are ascribed the arrangements for the future course of history that have enflamed Juno's animosity-'sic uoluere Parcas' (1.22). In unfolding his sententia to Venus, Jupiter does appear to assume responsibility with, 'his ego nec metas rerum nec tempora pono:/imperium sine fine dedi'; but he continues with a colourless impersonal expression-'sic placitum' (1.278 f., 283). And when the shade of Palinurus is chided, 'desine fata deum

1 Throughout this paper I am greatly indebted to Mr. Charles Whittaker, of Southampton University, Mr. D. A. Russell, of St. John's College, Oxford, has also kindly read an early draft of the paper.

Heinze, Virgils epische Technik (Stuttgart, 1965), p. 293; Seru. auct. to 4.614, 'fata: dicta, id est louis uoluntas'cf. Norden to 6. 45 ff. and 376. Cf., e.g. Bailey, Religion in Virgil (Oxford, 1935), pp. 215 ff.; Buchr Der Schicksalsgedanke bei Vergil, Wege c. orschung 19 (Darmstadt, fata-cf. Austin ad loc. 1966), pp. 274 f.; Klingner, Römisches Geisteswelt3 (Munich, 1956), p. 240.

At 4.110 f. Venus is sometimes taken to be saving. ... uncertain of the fates, as to whether Jupiter wishes . . . '-cf. Boyance, La Religion de Virgile (Paris, 1963), p. 52; Wagenvoort, Gnomon 41 (1969), 281. But to take fatis with incerta like this appears impossible-TLL., sv. incertus, cites comparable language only from Tertuilian; and an alternative translation is available, which neither strains language nor makes any equation between Jupiter's will and the

\* The council of the gods at the beginning

of Aeneid 10 I consider below.

flecti sperare precando', the ordinances which he has sought to contravene, those which regulate the passage of the souls across the Styx, have not been ascribed to Jupiter; the expression has again been impersonal, 'nec datur. . . ' (6.376,327).

In the face of this general reticence throughout the poem on the subject of Jupiter's will, the proposition that fate is the will of Jupiter requires further investigation if we are to understand what is being proposed. To the passage quoted at the start of this paper Heinze adds a footnote citing seven passages in support of his view; but though fatum occurs in all of these passages, none mentions the will of Jupiter. What may be called the official view, that fate is the will of Jupiter, faces fresh difficulties with a number of passages where the word fatum is used and where it is difficult or impossible to imagine that anything is being said about the will of Jupiter. Thus, it hardly seems clear by the official view what Venus means by the expression, 'fatis contraria fata rependens'; Juno flatly opposes the fata Phrygum, which presumably, although Juno does not say so, do have something to do with the will of Jupiter, to 'fatis nostris'; and when Euander cries, 'contra ego uiuendo uici mea fata,' one cannot believe that this pious and simple man, distraught by grief though he here is, is calling into question the arrangements of Jupiter. Fatum is connected with the gods, undoubtedly,7 and with the king of the gods most of all; but to establish the exact nature of the connection seems to require further enquiry into Vergil's text.

# B. 'Rex Iuppiter omnibus idem: / fata uiam invenient'8

Vergil's use of Homer is part of his apparatus of communication. The evocation of the original provides a way into Vergil's new scene; and, thus awakened, the reader's attention is quickened when, as in his single council of the gods, Vergil has no sooner evoked Homer than he violently departs from him. The Homeric councils end one and all with a clear and authoritative statement of intent from Zeus; here Jupiter begins by recalling that he had forbidden the war in Latium, 10 but at the end he not only allows the war to continue, but pledges his own impartiality while it does so. What rationale underlies Jupiter's un-Zeus-like behaviour? How are his final two clauses to be interpreted?

5 The first three of Heinze's passages are from Aeneas' narrative to Dido, where we .. would hardly expect to find statements about the will of Jupiter.

1,239; 7,293 f.; 11,160-cf. n. 13 below. commonly written at the end of 112. For all its powerful emotion, Euander's lament over Pallas is impressive in its simple dignity and statesmanlike wisdom-aspects in which it may be instructively contrasted with the outbursts of parental distress of Euryalus' mother and Mezentius at 9.481 ff. and 10.846 ff. Euander's tears are not to be misunderstood; they ennoble rather than discredit him-see Rieks, in Albrecht and Heck (edd.), Siluae: Festschrift E. Zinn (Tübingen, 1970), pp. 183 ff.

7 The word is not in the vocabulary of Mezentius, the contemptor diuum. A complete catalogue of the problem-passages concerning fata should include 8.397 f., where Vulcan appears to profess that he

had been quite at liberty to interfere in the course of the Trojan war had he chosen to. 10,112 f. The ensuing discussion will

show why I prefer a colon to the full-stop \* Theocritus had been used similarly in the Eclogues-cf. Skutsch, BICS 18 (1971), 26 ff., For the Homeric originals of Vergil's council, see Klingner, Vergil . . . . (Zurich-Stuttgart, 1967), pp. 567 f. Different from this para prosdokian use of the original is the technique whereby Vergil recalls Homer and allows the reader to supply from his knowledge of Homer details which Vergil leaves out: cf. Knauer, Die Aeneis und Homer (Göttingen, 1964), pp. 286 ff.

10 But, despite abnueram and uetitum at 10,8 f., Jupiter has foreseen the war at 1,263 ff. Cf. Thornton. The Living Universe: Gods and Men in Vir Aeneid, Mnemosyne Suppl. 16 (Leiden-brill, 1976), 123 f.

'Rex Iuppiter omnibus idem' is translated by Day Lewis, 'I am king to all, and impartial'. But if that is what Jupiter means here, both his language and thought seem wayward-surprisingly so when one considers the conspicuous clarity of his thought and expression whenever he speaks elsewhere in the poem, If 'luppiter' is here subject, and 'rex' and 'idem' both predicates, Jupiter's word-order is strange, and his asyndeton almost bizarre; and as his last verb was in the future, 'ferent', we might expect that tense rather than the present for the verb that he omits here. Furthermore, Jupiter has just said that he will be impartial-'Tros Rutulusne fuat, nullo discrimine habebo'. Why then should he continue to talk of his own impartiality at all? We should surely expect 'rex Iuppiter omnibus idem' to be, not a repetition of 'nullo discrimine habebo', but some sort of development from it. At G. 3.244 Vergil had written 'amor omnibus idem'. The procedure must be

used with care; 11 but can this linguistically similar expression clarify what Jupiter is saying at the council? In the Georgics Vergil cannot be saying, 'Love is the same for all'; for the magnificent passage that follows portrays the different ways in which the different animals give expression to their possession by the power of Love. The meaning rather is, 'All alike feel the power of Love'; and so, if there is an analogy with A. 10.112, Jupiter might be saying, 'All alike feel the power of king Jupiter', or rather, since this expression is preceded by one verb in the future tense, 'ferent', and succeeded by another, 'inuenient', 'All alike will feel the power of king Jupiter'. But by such a translation 'rex' is otiose. Jupiter is here speaking with great care, as the striking disposition of this line shows. After a full-stop at the penthemimeral caesura, 'rex Iuppiter omnibus idem' fills up the rest of the line with no further caesura, and with diagresis and coincidence of ictus and accent in each of the last three feet. This is also the only place in the poem where Jupiter makes reference to his kingship. He cannot be using 'rex' otiosely; and I therefore suggest the translation, 'The kingship of Jupiter will make itself felt to all alike'.

If this is right, then 'fata uiam invenient' ought to mean, 'The fates will find a way whereby the kingship of Jupiter will make itself felt to all alike.' Such an expression needs further clarification; let us test the proposed translation by considering whether it is apposite, first to the scene in which it appears, and then to Jupiter's stance in the poem as a whole.

Jupiter's experience at this council is very much like some of Cicero's experiences in Cilicia. He calls the council in the serene belief that he has only to get the warring parties round the conference-table for a solution of their differences to emerge spontaneously; but in the course of the council this belief is cruelly disappointed. When Jupiter begins by saying of the war 'abnueram' and 'uetitum', it looks very much as though he is thinking of some at-a-stroke solution of the sort that Zeus prescribes in the Iliad. But as he hears the goddesses through, he is forced, as the characters of the Aeneid, divine no less than human, are so often forced, into a reassessment of the immediate situation. Venus and Juno bring home to him the full extent of the differences that still remain between the Trojans and the Italians; and while they are speaking Jupiter comes to realize that the at-a-stroke solution for which he had originally hoped would be premature. Reconciliation at this stage, the goddesses have caused him to realize, would be at too low a level; were he now, in the style of Zeus, to impose his own solution,



11 Cf. Austin's cautious note to 2.54.

then this solution, in the style of Zeus' imposed solutions, would meet with the most modest and short-lived success. When Jupiter begins his final speech, 'quandoquidem Ausonios coniungi foedere Teucris/haud licitum', he is giving the minutes of the meeting so far-it has not proved possible to effect a reconciliation. 12 And when he continues by pledging his own neutrality, he is not abnegating responsibility, but presenting his constructive policy for the interim situation. His own non-intervention now is the necessary condition for the present differences ultimately to resolve themselves. The human combatants must be allowed to discover for themselves, through their experience of the continuing war, that Jupiter's original view of the war, that it is 'infandum', is the correct one; and thus there will one day be possible the reconciliation that has not proved to be possible at the present stage. When Jupiter says, 'rex Iuppiter omnibus idem', he is talking neither of his will nor of any physical power he may possess; rather he is talking of his understanding, and of how the human characters will be brought by their own experiences to acknowledge and share his understanding-this is how his kingship will make itself felt. And when he says 'fata uiam inuenient', he is expressing his unique confidence in the rationality and ultimate intelligibility of the processes of history. He uses the word 'fata' as, I would suggest, the divine and human characters throughout the Aeneid use it, to express his interim understanding of history. 13

Jupiter is thus very like Kutuzov, Russia's commander against Napoleon, as he is represented by Tolstoy: "Patience and time are my two valiant allies!" He knew the apple was better not picked while it was still green. It would fall of itself when ripe, but if you pick it green you spoil the apple, and the tree, and set your teeth on edge.' Kutuzov has a simple faith in Russia, and Jupiter a less simple one in the ultimately perceptible rationality of the processes of history; and for each this faith gives a higher perspective, which enables them to ride out the day-to-day setbacks that the course of history presents. Like Kutuzov, Jupiter 'knows that there is something stronger and more important than his own will-the inevitable march of events, and he has the brains to see them and grasp their significance, and seeing that significance can abstain from meddling, from following his personal desires and aiming at something else.'14 The human action of Aeneid 10 begins, immediately after the council is over, with Aeneas' entry into the war; and in view of the course which the action takes from there until the end of the poem it is clearly appropriate that it should be preceded by

12 I would likewise suggest, 'It has not proved possible', as the translation of 'haud licuit' in Dido's notoriously puzzling 'degere more ferae' sentence at 4.550 f.

uici mea fata', he is saying that it is contrary to his experience of history for fathers to outlive their sons; and when Juno speaks of 'fatis contraria nostris/fata Phrygum', she is contrasting her own hopes for the future course of history with the official, pro-Trojan version-cf. n. 6 above. The difficult and disputed 4.696, 'nam quia nec fato merita nec morte peribat', I would regard as Juno's way of looking at things; in her scheme for the

future course of history the premature death ; of Dido had had no place.

In considering the nature of the fata in the Aeneid, I find Tolstoy's observations on When Euander says, 'contra ego uiuendo' the words, 'chance', and, 'genius', illuminating. These words, he says, 'do not denote anything that actually exists', but 'merely indicate a certain degree of comprehension of phenomena; their use is forced upon us by our ignorance of the purposes of history-Tolstoy, War and Peace, trans, Edmonds (Harmondsworth, 1957), pp. 1342 f.

14 Tolstoy, op. cit., pp. 1213, 886-cf. pp. 956 ff., 1285 ff.

an affirmation by Jupiter of the ultimate rationality of the processes of history. But how well does the suggested interpretation stand up to examination against the remainder of the poem?

### C. THE REMAINDER OF THE POEM

Two passages from Aeneid 12 appear at first sight to discredit what has so far been said. When Jupiter says to Juno, 'ulterius temptare ueto', is not this just the Zeus-style laying-down of the law that we have suggested he purposively avoids at the council? And when Turnus says, 'di me terrent et Iuppiter hostis', does this not show that Jupiter has now abandoned the impartiality that he promised at the council? 15 In fact both passages confirm rather than discredit what has been said.

(i) In the final god-scene Jupiter's tone to his wife is one of sympathy and respect. 'Precibus . . . inflectere nostris' is anything but Zeus-like; and when Jupiter says 'ulterius temptare ueto', this is presented, not at all like a Zeus-type ordinance, but rather as a conclusion to be drawn from an assessment of the present situation. Juno has tried all she can, but still events have remorselessly proceeded in favour of Jupiter's interpretation of history, and against her own. Turnus and his men are now uicti; 'uentum ad supremum est'. 'Ulterius temptare ueto' is a recognition of inevitability; 'fata uiam inuenerunt'.

And this is just how Juno takes Jupiter's words. She talks immediately of Jupiter's uoluntas, and addresses him, uniquely, as magne; 16 but she does not talk of herself bowing meekly and uncomprehendingly before his will-rather, she says that she has already begun to adjust her position because Jupiter's uoluntas has become 'nota' to her. Jupiter's kingship, that is to say, has made itself known to her, in the way that Jupiter had foreshadowed at the Council; and Juno's conversion is a matter, not simply of obedience, but of Juno's developing knowledge-events have proved Jupiter right and herself wrong. The consummation of Juno's understanding-process, and of the divine action in the poem, is this recognition by Juno of Jupiter's kingship.

It is interesting that this recognition occupies almost exactly half of Juno's speech, while the remainder is occupied by a request that Juno begins by describing as 'nulla fati quod lege tenetur'17-a request that takes Jupiter by surprise, 18 but which he nevertheless readily grants. Vergil's proportions are part

pp. 141 ff.), but that is not in itself a reason for rejecting it. Vergil often gives us proportions which are significant without being mathematically exact-cf. Heinze, p. 6, on t divisions of the Iliupersis. How far Vergil's proportions are also a function of purely aesthetic considerations of the sort that Duckworth has suggested it is, of course, no part of this paper to consider.

18 Jupiter's use of uictus at 12.833 may be compared with Anchises' use of the sam word at 2.699, Anchises, like Jupiter here, has been surprised by the latest course of events and he is forced into a drastic reasse ment of the present situation.

<sup>13 12.806.895.</sup> 

<sup>16</sup> At 10.606 ff., though already summissa, Juno is not yet ready to recognize Jupiter's authority. The tortuous word-order of 12,808 f. makes clear what an effort her present recognition is costing her. Saturnia in 807 is perhaps meant to direct us to the heart of Juno's difficulty; a child of Saturnus, she has been obliged to watch the collapse of the Saturnian world before the world of Jupiter.

<sup>17</sup> Recognition-808-18; request-819-28. Exact equality would be achieved by the often proposed rejection of 817 (cf. Warde Fowler, T' & Death of Turnus (Oxford, 1919),

her recognition—the request is the constructive step forward from the recognition. Juno's sympathetic involvement in the human action has enabled her to see, not only Jupiter's uoluntas, but also the limits within which the course of events has made future developments inevitable. The request that the Trojan culture should be submerged beneath the native one is no small matter; but Juno realizes that it is perfectly possible within the pattern that events have now begun to assume—'nulla fati quod lege tenetur'—and she no sooner puts her request to Jupiter than he realizes this too. Already Juno is engaged, as Jupiter has been engaged throughout this poem, on the constructive interpretation of history; already she has begun to assume the role that was literally at the heart of Jupiter's original prophecy to Venus<sup>19</sup>—

his ego nec metas rerum nec tempora pono: imperium sine fine dedi. quin aspera Iuno, quae mare nunc terrasque metu caelumque fatigat, consilia in melius referet, mecumque fouebit Romanos, rerum dominos gentemque togatam.

(ii) Turnus' 'di me terrent et Iuppiter hostis' is to be taken in the same way; events have made him aware of what he had not been aware of before. Throughout the action Turnus' vision has repeatedly been blurred—by Allecto's firebrand, by Juno's device of the phantom Aeneas, by the intervention of Juturna. The intercession of Saces was an important moment in restoring proper vision—'ut primum discussae umbrae et lux reddita menti' (669); and now, with Juturna gone, he sees things as they really are. He recognizes the inevitability of the confrontation with Aeneas that he has been shunning since he killed Pallas, as Juno has recognized the inevitability of the triumph of Aeneas' cause. Events, he sees, are against him; and so, 'di me terrent et Iuppiter hostis'. Turnus is beginning to feel the kingship of Jupiter, because his own experiences are beginning to come together into a pattern in his mind.

Vergil invites comparison of Turnus with Mezentius by putting the deaths of each at the end of the books in which their principal aristeiai appear. What Mezentius says is, in its own way, magnificent—'ast de me diuum pater atque hominum rex/uiderit' (10.743 f.); 'dextra mihi deus et telum' (773); and, as he finally faces Aeneas, 'nec mortem horremus nec diuum parcimus ulli' (880).<sup>20</sup> But, as the last of these quotations makes clear, the contemptor diuum lacks the breadth of view that Turnus comes to as he cries, 'di me terrent et Iuppiter hostis'. Mezentius is almost animal-like in the simplicity and narrowness of his

<sup>19</sup> Jupiter's prophecy is 1.263-96, i.e. 34 lines. The lines quoted in the text are 278-82. It is common to spot verbal similarities between the first and the last god-scenes of the poem-cf. Buchheit, Gymnasium 81 (1974), 499 ff.; the understanding of the one is enriched by reference to the other.

<sup>20</sup> The whole Mezentius-sequence at the end of *Aeneid* 10 is so fine that it surely deserves more critical attention than it has

yet received. What I find particularly interesting are: the totally different Mezentius that Aeneas finds at close quarters from the simplistic long-range view he had received from Euander at 8.481 ff.; the way in which, as he dies, Mezentius quite puts Aeneas to shame (as, by entirely different means, Turnus also does); and Aeneas' numbed horror at the beginning of Aeneid 11 as he ponders what he has done in killing Mezentius.

> me fata mea et scelus exitiale Lacaenae his mersere malis . . .

The altia, Helen's treachery; the αληθεστάτη πρόφασις Deiphobus' fata. With a simplicity that is stunning in view of his ghastly appearance, Deiphobus accepts, as Palinurus had not, the position that history has found for him;<sup>23</sup> an he brings the scene to an end with the hope that history will be kinder to Aene than it has been to him; 'melioribus utere fatis' (546). Deiphobus has some understanding of history; and so he talks of fata, as Mezentius does not.

#### D. CONCLUSION

What led Vergil to divinities and a divine action of this sort? Consideration of Vergil's own experiences, both of history and of the writing of poetry, may suggest some answers.

# (i) The Eclogues and the Georgies

It is perhaps unwise to press the proem of Georgic 3 too hard for evidence of Vergil's literary intentions at the time: 24 but we may safely say that in what Vergil there sketches the absence of any divinities is a remarkable feature wher we compare the form that the Aeneid finally took. But the absence of divinitie from the proem of Georgic 3 is not unduly surprising; for, save in a single passa

21 From what Euander says of him at 8.481 ff., I infer that Mezentius had grown up in the world of Saturnus. Turnus is younger than this. Mezentius' 'Kill and be killed' morality is the same as that shown by the warriors of Aeneid 2—hence, no doubt, the recurrence in that book of similes already used in the Georgics. What is here said of Mezentius' limited vision could also be demonstrated for Camilla.

<sup>22</sup> 12.676; the whole of this speech is crucial to understanding the change that hearing Saces has wrought in him and how he is now pointed towards 'di me terrent et luppiter hostis'.

Dante preserves these aspects of Vergil's scene in his reworking of it in the Jacopo Rusticucci episode at Canto XVI of the Inferno-cf, vv. 43-45.

<sup>24</sup> These literary programmes always to be shadowy affairs—cf. Ogilvie to Tac. Agr. 3.3. On the proem to G. 3 see Büchn. RE 15.2,1291 ff.; Klingner, op. cit. at n. 9 pp. 278 ff.; Buchheit, Der Anspruch des Dichter in Vergils Georgika..., Impulse d

Forschung 8 (Darmstadt, 1972), pp. 77 ff

to be considered shortly, the three great deities of the Aeneid make no significant appearance in Vergil's earlier work at all. Some consideration of why Jupiter, Juno, and Venus do not appear in the Eclogues and the Georgics may be helpful in establishing why they do appear in the Aeneid.

Whatever view one may take of the precise dating of the various Ecloques, 25 it is agreed that the simpler poems, the ones that most nearly retain the idyllic serenity of their Theocritean originals, are early, and that as Vergil goes on things become more complicated. The collection begins with Corydon and Alexis, Menalcas and Damoetas, shepherds who sing their way through to a sort of shepherdly wordly wisdom; it ends with the dispossessed farmers, and with the grotesque rituals of Eclogue 8, the outcome of which turns on its head the poem's original. In what is confessedly the final poem of all, the original is again turned on its head, in that it is not now the mythical Daphnis, but Gallus, the man of history, to whom Arcadia is shut and whom the gods of Arcadia, Pan and Apollo, are powerless to help. Like his shepherds, Vergil is made wiser by his poetry; but his wisdom is at this stage no more than a deeper awareness of problems that appear to have no solution. In this respect Vergil's experience of composition is exactly analogous to his experience of history. His hopes of the Peace of Brundisium were clearly high, yet within months these hopes had been dashed, and the political situation seemed more intractable than ever.

The Georgics are begun in a flash of enthusiasm. In the work's introduction Octavius, and in the immediately following myth of labor improbus Jupiter, appear as persons who solve, or at least have it in them to solve, problems.26 Jupiter, here making his one significant appearance in Vergil's earlier work, is the providential planner, who has deliberately created the difficulties of the farmer's life, that the farmer may by his gathering experience overcome them. It is commonly agreed that in reworking this well-known topos Vergil has gone far beyond all earlier versions in the Arbeitsethos that he represents Jupiter as having constructed to encompass the whole of the farmer's life. 27 Vergil has discovered a rationale underlying the farmer's life, and to describe this he introduces Jupiter. We may say that it is his excitement over this discovery, plus his excitement over the emerging position of Octavius, that gave impetus to the writing of the Georgics; solutions seem at last to be beginning to appear.

But the excitement did not last; and, as with the Eclogues, Vergil's experience of writing was once more a deepening awareness of massive problems. For all

25 Hardie, in ch. 8 of Levick (ed.) The Ancient Historians. . . (London, 1974), seems to me to argue persuasively for the restoration of the conventional dating; one might perhaps add that, when Tityrus sings, 'deus nobis hace otia fecit', there is surely no guarantee that Vergil necessarily agrees with him. With what is here said of the Eclogues cf. Büchner, Vergil: Dichtung und Chaos, at pp. 461 ff. of Mélanges Boyance (Rome, 1974).

24 Vergil's recurrent search for a Heilbringer is emphasized by Klingner in his essay on the unity of Vergil's work-op. cit. at n. 2, pp.

256 ff. (= Röm. Mitt. 45 (1930), 43 ff.). Büchner, RE 15. 2.1271 f., stresses that G. 1.118 ff. is to be taken with the poem's introduction. The difficulties that have been felt over improbus, on which see Richter. Vergil: Georgika (Munich, 1957), ad loc., .. are surely groundless, TLL., sv., lists Vergil's usage at G. 1.146 under the heading, 'de eo. quod modum excedit, i.q. immensus'; this is how his labor appears to the farmer.

27 Cf. Richtersop, cit., p. 136; Ruiz de Elvira, CFC 3 (1, 1), 9 ff.

its allegro con brio opening, the Georgics are ultimately a 'thythm of dark and light'. 28 Already by the end of Georgic 1 we have cataclysm and catastrophe, and the work ends with the monstrous punishment of Orpheus, by human standards quite disproportionate to his crime. The closely observed description of the social life of the bees is surely written with one eye on the contemporary collapse of Rome's social and political institutions; and the only figure in the whole work who has found a solution to the problems of existence is a solitary old farmer tucked far away from the latifundia of Italy (4.125 ff.), who resembles the figures Aeneas meets during his wanderings in the 'uobis parta quies' nature of his life, far off the beaten track of history. It is not difficult to see how the ambivalence of the Georgics reflects the ambivalence of the times in which they were written. For all Octavius' gathering command of the western empire, no final political solution was possible while Antonius was still at large.

## (ii) The Aeneid

Jupiter plays little part in the Eclogues and Georgics, Juno and Venus none at all: the three dominate the Aeneid. Why this change?

I take it that the Aeneid arose out of Vergil's deeper thinking into the battle of Actium. He was excited by the battle the moment it happened; but the Aeneid did not take its final shape until Vergil had begun to see the weltgeschichtliche significance of Actium. The battle became a point in history somewhat similar to Teilhard's Omega Point-a point towards which the previous events of history were seen to have been leading, and by reference to which they fell into shape, and became retrospectively intelligible. The previous events were seen as the necessary prelude of Actium; and Vergil also saw his experience of the previous events as the necessary prelude to his understanding of Actium-just as, through Jupiter's providence, the farmer's experience of difficulties had been the necessary prelude to his overcoming them. In the Aeneid Vergil, it seems to me, aims to convey both the nature of his post-Actium understanding of history and the manner in which he has acquired it. Throughout the poem gods and men alike grapple with the problem of the intelligibility of history; 29 the conviction of the potential intelligibility of history is the development in Vergil's thought that enables him at last to introduce the Olympian deities into his poetry.

There is nothing at all simplistic or deterministic about Vergil's approach to the problems of the intelligibility of history. When he asks at the beginning of the poem, 'Tantaene animis caelestibus irae?', and at the end of it, 'tanton placuit' concurrere motu,/Iuppiter, aeterna gentis in pace futuras?' (1.11, 12.503 f.), these are surely quite genuine questions, ones to which Vergil would dearly like to know the answers. When Anchises surveys the future heroes of history, he finds, himself on firm ground only while he sees Aeneas' immediate descendants and the line that leads from them to Augustus-much the same ground, that is, as that covered by Jupiter in his prophecy to Venus. Once Anchises looks further than this, he is confronted by such characters as the first consul Brutus, Pompey and Caesar, and then, through the curiosity of his son, the younger Marcellus-

hostis' is a moment when he begins to under-I hope to mete elsewhere on the human stand history as he had not understood it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Büchner, RE 15.2.1319 f.

characters in the neid and the intelligibility before. of history, Turnus' 'di me terrent et luppiter

characters where his understanding fails him. 30 But Actium has made history potentially intelligible; and it is because of this potential intelligibility that Vergil introduces the fata into his poem. When Jupiter unveils the fatorum arcana at the beginning of the poem, what he gives is the overall direction of history, the minimum that is necessary for history to become intelligible-hence, Vergil's anxiety to bring it in at the outset; and when characters acknowledge the fata, as Deiphobus and Turnus do in passages already considered, they are saying that a portion of history, history as it affects them, has become intelligible to them. A part of history has assumed a pattern for them; and so they use 'fata' to designate their awareness of this pattern. In the case of Deiphobus, who has no future to look forward to-'explebo numerum reddarque tenebris' (6.545)-the pattern comprises no more than his present position as it is the upshot of his previous experience; but for Turnus the pattern has prospective reference as well-his new insight into the logic of his experience so far tells him that it is now time to rid himself of Juturna.

But the humans' apprehension of the fata is, of course relatively short-term and egocentric. For all the stunning candour with which Deiphobus apprehends his own fata, as far as Aeneas' are concerned he can only make well-meaning and well-informed guesses-'i, decus, i, nostrum . . . melioribus utere fatis'. Turnus sees that the logic of events is driving him inexorably towards confrontation with Aeneas, and he has no illusions about the result of such confrontation; but he cannot see the confrontation in its sub specie aeternitatis aspects, as Jupiter and Juno can. 31 What distinguishes gods from men is their broader vision; they have at least the potential ability to grasp the fata over a far larger range, both of space and of time. No human would presume to designate anything as 'nulla fati quod lege tenetur'; Juno is able to do so because her sympathetic involvement in the human action has finally driven her to accept the pattern of prospective history that Jupiter enunciated at the outset of this action. And Jupiter, no less than she, is continually obliged to make adjustments in his short-term understanding of history; we have seen how at both the council and in the final godscene events take him by surprise and force him into a reassessment of the present situation. The distinctive role of Jupiter is to preside over the genesis of the understanding-process among gods and men alike, and his distinctive characteristic is his unique confidence that this process will come to birth-'fata uiam inuenient'. His interventions in the poem are so few because intervention would cut short

30 How far Vulcan understands history it is not possible to say. The shield is made with review of history at Paradiso VI. tremendous speed; and Vulcan's choice of subjects seems to be made on aesthetic grounds-what will make a good artefactrather than intellectual ones. It is interesting to consider how the tone of the show of heroes and of the shield conforms to that of the books in which they each appear-they are as different from one another as Aeneid 6 is different from Aeneid 8. The one is to the other, perhaps; as Wagner is to Mozart.

The tortured agonizing of the show of heroes comes across very clearly when comparison is made with Justinian's majestic

31 This is perhaps particularly noticeable when in his final breath Turnus says, 'uicisti et uictum tendere palmas/Ausonii uidere'. That the Ausonians should have seen Aeneas' victory is very important to the Jupiter-Juno scheme of things, as it is a necessary condition of the Ausonians voluntarily accepting the consequences of Aeneas' victory. But that is not at all what Turnus means; he means no more than, 'As the Ausonians have seen your victory already, not press it home by killing me."

the understanding process; Juno etc. must come to understanding for themselves. through their own experience of history-exactly as Vergil came to his own post-Actium understanding. Jupiter intervenes only when the necessary minimum that he had sketched to Venus is in danger of non-fulfilment.32 He sends Mercury to Aeneas at Carthage lest Aeneas fail to reach Italy at all; he sends Anchises to him in Sicily because the spiritual reorientation that Aeneas undergoes in the Underworld is a necessary prelude to the tasks that face him in Italy. 33 But otherwise he leaves the poem's characters to find understanding for themselves; and as they find it so they will acknowledge him. 'Rex Iuppiter omnibus idem: di me terrent et Iuppiter hostis'.

Vergil's divinities are each a rich tapestry of many different strands;34 and at any one appearance one strand may predominate to the exclusion of the others. But the strand that I have here concentrated on may perhaps be regarded as the most distinctively Vergilian one, and the one that exercises the most effect over the Aeneid as a whole. That Juno, for example, resembles Hera is surely less central to the poem than that throughout the course of the poem's action she comes to acknowledge and share Jupiter's understanding of history. It is by the divine action proceeding along the lines that have here been considered that Vergil has healed the Aristotelian dichotomy of poetry and history. Vergil is atpains to give his narrative the appearance of historical verisimilitude; and the events of the Aeneid have the Ta Kab' EKAOTOV quality which Aristotle predicated of historical events. Nothing could be more wayward, diverse, and confused, for instance, than the sequence of events in which Aeneas is involved after his mad rush into the fray on the last night of Troy. But as these events are received by the divine mind, and are there sifted and interpreted in relation to the long-term pattern of history, so each event begins to assume the τὰ καθόλου qualities of poetry.

Tonbridge School

C. H. WILSON

33 His first dispatch of Mercury to Carthage in Kutuzov. Vergil surely did not write Aeneid at 1.297 ff, may be a precaution that Aeneas come to no harm there. But it follows so immediately on the Venus-scene that it may perhaps be little more than a gesture to Venus (which she fails to accept in the manner intended),

33 It is interesting to consider how far Aeneas' experiences in the Underworld are intended to direct him towards the same ideals of statesmanship as Tolstoy represents

6 before he had pondered long over what made Augustus the man that he was. Livy has not thought so deeply in giving his account of Hannibal's leadership at 21.4.3 ff.

34 Attempts to disentangle the different strands have been made, for Juno by Lieberg, A & R 11 (1966), 145 ff.; for Venus by Wlosok, Die Göttin Venus in Vergils Aeneis (Heidelberg, 1967).